

IN THE MATTER OF VOLUNTARY ARBITRATION BETWEEN — ASSOCIATED LABOR UNIONS, PETITIONER, VS. GENERAL MILLING CORPORATION (FEED MILLS), RESPONDENT.

G.R. No. L-48979. March 26, 1979

Ponente: MAKASIAR, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/4db15c2b21ab/>

MAKASIAR, J.:

The parties herein filed on February 22, 1979 a joint manifestation dated December 8, 1978 praying that this case be dismissed for being moot and academic on the ground that on December 6, 1978, the parties, assisted by their respective counsels, executed the following Compromise Agreement:

"This COMPROMISE AGREEMENT, made and executed on this 20th day of December, 1978, at the City of Manila, by and between the -?

"ASSOCIATED LABOR UNIONS, a legitimate labor organization duly registered with the Department of Labor pursuant to Presidential Decree No. 442, and existing under the laws of the Philippines, with office address at 2357 Leon Guinto Sr. Street, Malate, Manila, represented herein by its National President, Mr. DEMOCRITO T. MENDOZA and hereinafter referred to as the FIRST PARTY,

- and -

"GENERAL MILLING CORPORATION (Feed Mills), likewise a duly organized corporate entity existing under the laws of the Philippines, with office address at Pioneer Street, Pasig, Metro Manila, represented herein by its Plant Manager, Mr. EDUARDO PE, and hereinafter referred to as the SECOND PARTY.

- W I T N E S S E T H -

"1. THAT, WHEREAS, there exists presently between the First Party and the Second Party a labor case pending resolution in the Supreme Court, entitled 'In the matter of voluntary arbitration between the Associated Labor Unions, Petitioner, versus the General Milling Corporation (Feed Mills), Respondent' and docketed as Case No. SC. G.R. No. L-48979, O.P. Case No. 0441, which case pertains to the petition of the First Party for review by certiorari the DECISION rendered by the Office of the President of the Philippines (O.P. Case No. 0441) on August 29, 1978, reversing the decisions of the Voluntary Arbitrator, the NLRC and the Minister of Labor in NLRC Case UNNUMBERED;

"2. THAT, WHEREAS, the subject matter of this litigation concerns the pending grievance by the First Party on the nonpayment by the Second Party of the 1975 alleged mid-year bonus to all covered rank-and-file employees who are union members in accordance with the Grievance Procedure under Section 1, Article VIII of their former CBA that expired last November 1977, as well as the additional issue that the same was never certified for arbitration;

"3. THAT, WHEREAS, the Sheriff of the Commission, by virtue of the WRIT OF EXECUTION issued by Executive Labor Arbiter Guillermo C. Medina on September 5, 1977, was able to garnish from the Bank of

America the security deposited made by the Second Party in the sum of P78,000.00 which amount allegedly represented the accountabilities of the Second Party as per decision of Voluntary Arbitrator Manuel Dia and affirmed by the First Division of the NLRC, and which sum of P78,000.00 was roughly broken down by the Labor Analyst as follows:

"Mid-Year bonus for 1975 P58,000.00

Backwages of 11 complainants . . . 20,000.00

T o t a l P78,000.00

"4. THAT, WHEREAS, after a long, thorough and careful deliberation made by the parties herein, bearing in mind the promotion of industrial peace and the lasting harmonious relationship between labor and capital, they have finally come into a decision to amicably settle this case ex curia (out of court). On its part, the First Party hereby manifests its intention to voluntarily withdraw as it hereby withdraws its Petition for review by certiorari now pending before the Supreme Court without, however, admitting that its petition is unmeritorious. On its part, the Second Party, likewise, agree that the sum of P78,000.00 which was garnished by the Sheriff of the NLRC in favor of the Second Party, would be considered as delivered to the First Party by way of an 'ex-gratia' settlement and not as a matter of right on the part of the First Party but resting in the exercise of sound discretion on the party of the Second Party; and, provided further, that in no way should the sum herein mentioned be either construed as an admission by the Second Party of its liability to the First Party or would it set as precedent for future claims by the First Party or similar issues. And, provided finally, that the First Party waives forever whatever claims it may have against the Second Party for the years 1976, 1977 and 1978;

"5. THAT, WHEREAS, the Second Party, as a consequence of this amicable settlement, has agreed to pay the eleven (11) female individual claimants in the instant case who were laid off for their job as a separation pay equivalent to one (1) month pay.

"NOW, THEREFORE, for and in consideration of the above premises, the First Party and the Second Party hereby enter and execute the foregoing COMPROMISE AGREEMENT and hereby bind themselves to observe strictly and faithfully all the terms and conditions herein set forth."

THE AFOREQUOTED COMPROMISE AGREEMENT BEING NOT CONTRARY TO LAW, GOOD MORALS, PUBLIC POLICY OR PUBLIC INTEREST, IS HEREBY APPROVED AND THE PARTIES ARE HEREBY ENJOINED TO COMPLY WITH ITS TERMS AND STIPULATIONS. THIS CASE IS HEREBY DECLARED CLOSED AND TERMINATED.

NO COSTS.

Teehankee, (Chairman), Fernandez, Guerrero, De Castro, and Melencio-Herrera, JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.