

LEONARDA VDA. DE MALASARTE, COMPLAINANT, VS. DEPUTY SHERIFF LIBRADO Z. YEBES, COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF ZAMBOANGA DEL NORTE, DIPOLOG CITY, RESPONDENT.

A.M. No. P-1622. June 29, 1979

Ponente: FERNANDEZ, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/4a2913a81b15/>

FERNANDEZ, J.:

This is an administrative complaint filed by Leonarda Vda. de Malasarte, dated March 7, 1977, charging respondent Librado Yebes, Deputy Sheriff of the Court of First Instance of Zamboanga del Norte, Branch III, Dipolog City, with alleged failure to execute a writ of execution issued in her favor as a prevailing litigant and for de-manding and receiving from her the sum of Two Hundred Pesos (P200.00) to reimburse what the respondent supposedly incurred while serving the aforesaid writ of execution.[1]

In Civil Case No. 2627 for Forcible Entry, original-ly filed with the Municipal Court of Sibutad, Zamboanga del Norte, the plaintiffs therein, heirs of Juanito Mala-sarte, et al., obtained a favorable decision, dated Sep-tember 16, 1974, which, when appealed to the Court of First Instance of Zamboanga del Norte and later to the Court of Appeals, was sustained and affirmed. Although the decision of the Court of Appeals was elevated to this Court on certiorari, because of failure of the petitioners to pay the required fees on time, the petition was dismissed. When the decision of the Court of Appeals became final and exe-cutory, the Court of First Instance of Zamboanga del Norte, Branch I, Dipolog City, upon application, issued a writ of execution, dated March 4, 1976. The Writ com-manded the Provincial Sheriff or any of his deputies to:

- 1) Place the plaintiffs' heirs, represented by Leonarda Vda. de Malasarte, in the pos-session of a parcel of land des-cribed therein; and
- 2) To satisfy from the goods and chattels of the defendants the sum of P629.00 and deliver the same to the plaintiffs, represented by Leonarda Vda. de Malasarte. If sufficient personal property can-not be found to satisfy the judg-ment and other fees, to raise the amount from real properties of de-fendants in accordance with the Rules of Court.[2]

In a 1st Indorsement, dated March 9, 1977, the then Executive Judge of the Court of First Instance of Zamboanga del Norte, Branch III, Dipolog City, Hon. Dimalanes B. Buissan, required the respondent Deputy Sheriff Librado Yebes to explain within seventy-two (72) hours (1) why he had not served the writ of execution in the case or if he had served it, why no return had been made; and (2) why it was necessary for him to get P200.00 from complainant Leonarda Vda. de Malasarte and to ex-plain what he did with the money.[3]

In his reply dated March 14, 1977 to the aforesaid 1st Indorsement, the respondent stated:[4]

- a) That he received the office directive assigning him to serve the Writ of Execution in Civil Case No. 2627 on March 5, 1976; that finding out later on that one of the defend-ants, Amparo Dumuag, was residing in Sapang Dalaga, Misamis Occidental, about 80 kilometers away from Dipolog City, which may be reached only through a circuituous way, he had to hire a pump boat and sail in open sea; that he had to stay overnight at said

Sapang Dalaga, take his meals there as well as on the way and to buy gasoline for the pump boat, and succeeded in serving the writ;

b) That on March 8, 1976, he had to hike some 12 kilometers to reach Baylimangao, Dapitan City, to serve the writ on Teofila M. Bolay-og, another defendant;

c) That plaintiff Leonarda Vda. de Malasarte was placed in possession of the land subject of the Writ of Execution on March 6, 1976;

d) That he received the amount of P200.00 from complainant to re-imburse him for the expenses he incurred while serving the said writ but submitted an accounting of how the money was disbursed;

e) That he could not raise the amount of P629.00 from the personal properties of defendants because these are exempt from execution under the Rules of Court and defendants had no real properties registered in their names; and

f) That he was unable to make a return of the Writ within the 60-day period because he was trying to help Malasarte locate other properties of defendants and he kept the Writ of Execution in his possession.[5]

In a Resolution of this Court dated September 18, 1978, the case was referred to Executive Judge Simplicio Apalisok of the Court of First Instance of Zamboanga del Norte, at Dipolog City, for investigation, report and recommendation.[6]

At the hearing conducted by the Executive Judge on November 6, 1978, the complainant, Leonarda Vda. de Malasarte, declared that she was no longer interested to pursue her complaint against Deputy Sheriff Yebes.[7] However, for the good of the service, Judge Apalisok continued the investigation to elicit facts. In his report, he made the following findings:[8]

a) Complainant Leonarda Vda. de Malasarte had been placed in possession of the property in accordance with the Writ of Execution;

b) The amount of P629.00 could not be secured because defendants have no properties which could be seized and levied upon for the purpose;

c) Respondent's explanation regarding his failure to make a return of the Writ to the court was unsatisfactory;

d) The expenditure by respondent of the P200.00 was not justified since the expenses incurred by him in serving the Writ were unnecessary.

The investigating judge recommended that respondent Librado Yebes be exonerated with respect to the charges that he failed to place complainant in possession of the property or secure the amount of P629.00 from defendants but that the erring Deputy Sheriff be severely reprimanded for demanding and obtaining the amount of P200.00 from complainant Malasarte to reimburse himself for the purported expenses he incurred while serving and executing the Writ.

The findings of fact of Executive Judge Simplicio Apalisok who conducted the inquiry into the case are supported by the record. This is the second time that the respondent Yebes has committed the same offense despite an earlier warning. The respondent deputy sheriff should be meted a heavier penalty for having disregarded the warning.

WHEREFORE, having again failed to make a return of the Writ of Execution within the maximum period of sixty (60) days prescribed by Sec. 11, Rule 39 of the Revised Rules of Court and for demanding the amount of Two Hundred Pesos (P200.00) from the prevailing party to cover his expenses incident to serving and executing the writ of execution, an act which is in violation of existing regulation on the matter, the respondent Librado Yebes,

Deputy Sheriff of the Court of First Instance of Zamboanga del Norte, Dipolog City, is hereby suspended for a period of one (1) month without pay commencing from the time his preventive suspension is lifted.

SO ORDERED.

Teehankee, (Chairman), Makasiar, Guerrero, De Castro, and Melencio-Herrera, JJ., concur.

[1] Rollo, p. 1.

[2] Writ of Execution, Civil Case No. 2627, CFI, Branch I, Dipolog City, March 4, 1976, Rollo, pp. 23-25.

[3] 1st Indorsement of Executive Judge Dimalanes B. Buissan, March 9, 1977, Rollo, p. 2.

[4] Reply to 1st Indorsement, March 14, 1977, of Librado Yebes, Rollo, pp. 20-21.

[5] Judge Simplicio Apalisok's Investigation Report, pp. 5-6, Rollo, pp. 37-38.

[6] Rollo, p. 30.

[7] Rollo, p. 47.

[8] Investigation Report of Judge Simplicio Apalisok, Rollo, pp. 33-44.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.