

PRAXEDES LIMALIMA, COMPLAINANT, VS. ALBERTO SANJURJO, RESPONDENT.

UDK Administrative Case. June 14, 1969

Ponente: DIZON, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/2149edb2208b/>

DIZON, J.:

In a verified complaint dated December 12, 1956 filed with this Court, Praxedes Limalima of Medellin, Cebu, sought to prevent Alberto Sanjurjo from taking his oath as member of the Philippine Bar should he pass the 1956 bar examination, on the ground of immorality and breach of promise to marry her.

On March 4, 1957, after the release of the results of said bar examination, the complainant wrote an air-mail letter to this Court requesting the Chairman, 1956 Bar Examination, not to allow the respondent to take his oath of office. However, thru oversight, the respondent, who passed with a rating of 75%, was able to participate in the mass oath-taking on March 7; to sign the roll of attorneys (Roll No. 11062) and to receive his lawyer's certificate.

Upon discovery of the error the then Deputy Clerk of Court and Reporter wrote the respondent on April 1, 1957 requiring him to return his lawyer's diploma, but the latter ignored the request. Thereupon this Court took cognizance of the case and required the respondent to answer the complaint.

In his answer the respondent denied the allegations of the complaint and prayed that said complaint be dismissed on the ground that the cause of action had become moot and academic as he had already taken his oath as member of the bar.

On May 10, 1957 - after the respondent had taken his oath - this undocketed administrative case was referred to the Provincial Fiscal of Cebu for investigation and report, together with the complainant's additional petition praying that the respondent be declared in contempt of Court for not surrendering his lawyer's diploma to this Court.

Answering the additional petition aforesaid, the respondent averred that the proper proceedings should have been for his disbarment.

Having been summoned by the Provincial Fiscal of Cebu, both parties appeared and presented their respective evidence, and under date of January 20, 1958 said Provincial Fiscal submitted his report finding that the respondent had committed "acts of immorality which is unbecoming of a prospective member of the bar" and recommended "that he be given such punishment as the Honorable Supreme Court may deem proper".

The question to be resolved now is whether, in view of the fact that the respondent, as stated heretofore, was able to take his oath as member of the bar prior to the indorsement of the case to the Provincial Fiscal of Cebu for proper investigation, these proceedings may be treated as proceedings for his disbarment. Our answer is in the affirmative. There is nothing sacred in procedural forms, and it is our settled jurisprudence that technicalities of the nature of the one invoked by the respondent - namely, that these proceedings should be dismissed without prejudice to complainant instituting disbarment proceedings against him - may be disregarded as long as, in doing

so, the party concerned will not suffer any substantial prejudice, and is given his day in court. All these conditions are present in this case.

Consequently, pursuant to the provisions of Section 5, Rule 139 of the Rules of Court, the Solicitor General is hereby directed to file the corresponding complaint against the respondent with this Court, a copy of which should be served upon him to be answered within fifteen (15) days from notice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Reyes, J.B.L., Acting C.J., Makalintal, Zaldivar, Sanchez, Fernando, Capistrano, Teehankee, and Barredo, JJ., concur.

Concepcion, C.J., and Ruiz Castro, J., on official leave.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.