

CARLOS BAYOS AND ANACLETO MATUNDAN, PETITIONERS, VS. THE HONORABLE JUDGE ONOFRE A. VILLALUZ AND THE PEOPLE OF THE PHILIPPINES, RESPONDENTS.

G.R. No. L-48982. March 30, 1979

Ponente: GUERRERO J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/19a4faeb8208/>

GUERRERO J.:

It appears from the petition at bar that petitioners are employees of the Philippine National Railways; that on August 15, 1978, an Information was filed against them for violation of Republic Act No. 3019, otherwise known as the Anti-Graft and Corrupt Practices Act, in the Circuit Criminal Court, Seventh Judicial District, presided by respondent Judge before whom they were arraigned; and, that after arraignment, respondent Judge issued an Order on August 24, 1978 suspending petitioners from service until after the termination of the case, pursuant to paragraph 13 of R.A. 3019.

Petitioners contend that the suspension Order is contrary to law and jurisprudence because it was issued without a hearing on the validity of the Information, a condition sine qua non to the issuance of an order of suspension, relying on Our ruling in the case of Luciano vs. Mariano (L-32950, July 30, 1971, 40 SCRA 187) wherein We held that:

"By way of broad guidelines for the lower courts in the exercise of the power of suspension from office of public officers charged under a valid information under the provisions of Republic Act 3019 or under the provisions of the Revised Penal Code in bribery, pursuant to section 13 of said Act, it may be briefly stated that upon the filing of such information, the trial court should issue an order with proper notice requiring the accused officer to show cause at a specific date of hearing why he should not be ordered suspended from office pursuant to the cited mandatory provisions of the Act. Where either the prosecution seasonably files a motion for an order of suspension or the accused in turn files a motion to quash the information or challenges the validity thereof, such show-cause order of the trial court would no longer be necessary. What is indispensable is that the trial court duly hear the parties at a hearing held for determining the validity of the information, and thereafter hand down its ruling, issuing the corresponding order of suspension should it uphold the validity of the information or withholding such suspension in the contrary case." (Id., pp. 202-203).

Upon being required to comment, the Office of the Solicitor General submits that there is a proper ground for certiorari as respondent Judge failed to observe the indispensable guidelines prescribed by this Court in the exercise by trial courts of their power of suspension under R.A. 3019, and recommends that this case be remanded to the Court below for appropriate action in accordance with the jurisprudence on the matter.

We find this recommendation to be well-taken.

WHEREFORE, let this case be remanded to the lower Court for hearing on the validity of the Information vs. formably to the guidelines set forth in the Luciano vs. Mariano case. Pending said hearing, the suspension Order of August 24, 1978 is hereby lifted.

SO ORDERED.

Teehankee, (Chairman), Makasiar, Fernandez, De Castro, and Melencio-Herrera, JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.