

THE GOOD DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, PETITIONER, VS. THE HON. EDUARDO C. TUTAAN, GUILLERMO V. DE LOS REYES AND MARCELINA MARCELO, RESPONDENTS.

G.R. No. L-41641. September 30, 1976

Ponente: CONCEPCION JR., J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/19693a3540b7/>

CONCEPCION JR., J.:

Petition for certiorari to annul and set aside the order of the respondent court dismissing the petitioner's complaint in Civil Case No. Q-18426 of the Court of First Instance of Rizal.

The complaint, filed on January 16, 1974, is an action to recover from the private respondents Guillermo Delos Reyes and Marcelina Marcelo the sum of P1,520.00 plus interest, and the sum equivalent to 25% of the total amount due as attorney's fees and, in default of payment thereof, to order the fore-closure of the chattel mortgage executed by said private respondents covering personal properties valued at P15,340.00, located at Meycauayan, Bulacan. Gregorio Emperado and Leonarda de la Cruz were made party defendants for the reason that they were the co-makers of the promissory note executed by the private respondents to evidence the loan.[1]

On October 19, 1974, the private respondents filed their answer thereto claiming that the loan sued upon is only one of five (5) loans secured by them from the petitioner wherein they were charged usurious interest; and that the balance due the petitioner is only P1,260.00 which they are willing to pay upon the petitioner's furnish-ing them with all the copies of the documents signed by them in connection with the loan obtained by them from the petitioner.[2]

For failure to plead, defendant Gregorio Empe-rado was declared in default while the case against the defendant co-maker Leonarda de la Cruz was dismissed without prejudice.[3]

On February 17, 1975, the private respon-dents filed a motion to dismiss the complaint for lack of jurisdiction for the reasons that the pe-titioner, in its complaint, seeks and prays for a sum of money amounting to P1,520.00 which comes under the original jurisdiction of the municipal court, and that in view of the alternative prayer for foreclosure of mortgage, the complaint should have been filed in the proper court of Bulacan where the chattels are located and where the deed of chattel mortgage is registered.[4]

On April 25, 1975, the respondent court sustained the motion and dismissed the complaint without prejudice to its refiling in the proper form and in the proper court. The reason for the dismissal is that:

"The complaint filed by plaintiff is clearly one for collection for other-wise, if it were for foreclosure of mortgage, defendants Gregorio Emperado and Leonarda de la Cruz would not have been included as party-defendants. Their inclusion indicates the intent of plaintiff to also collect from them.

"The case being one for a sum of money, the cause of action must be governed by the law on jurisdiction. Assuming the validity of plaintiff's principal claim of P1,520.00, inte-rest thereon at 12% per month from August 4, 1973, and attorney's fees equivalent to 25% of said amount, the total thereof cannot and will not

reach P10,000.00. It then becomes clear that this case must fall within the exclusive original jurisdiction of the city court." [5]

On May 7, 1975, the petitioner filed a motion for the reconsideration of said order of dismissal [6] but the same was denied on June 25, 1975. [7]

On July 27, 1975, the petitioner, without prior leave of court, again filed a motion for the reconsideration of the order of dismissal [8] which the respondent court denied on August 8, 1975. [9]

Hence, the present recourse.

On the issue of which court has jurisdiction, the case of *Seno vs. Pastolante, et al.*, [10] is in point. It was ruled therein that although the purpose of an action is to recover an amount plus interest which comes within the original jurisdiction of the Justice of the Peace Court, yet when said action involves the foreclosure of a chattel mortgage covering personal properties valued at more than P2,000, (now P10,000.00) the action should be instituted before the Court of First Instance.

In the instant case, the action is to recover the amount of P1,520.00 plus interest and costs, and involves the foreclosure of a chattel mortgage of personal properties valued at P15,340.00, so that it is clearly within the competence of the respondent court to try and resolve.

WHEREFORE, the petition is granted and the orders of the respondent court dated April 25, 1975 and August 8, 1975 are hereby set aside and the respondent court directed to resume further proceedings in Civil Case No. Q-18426 of the Court of First Instance of Rizal. Costs against the private respondents.

SO ORDERED.

Fernando, (Chairman), Barredo, Antonio, and Aquino, JJ., concur.

[1] p. 19, rollo.

[2] p. 28, rollo.

[3] p. 36, rollo.

[4] p. 41, rollo.

[5] pp. 49-50, rollo.

[6] p. 51, rollo.

[7] p. 66, rollo.

[8] p. 67, rollo.

[9] p. 75, rollo.

[10] 103 Phil. 414.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.