

ASSOCIATED TRADE UNIONS (ATU), PETITIONER, VS. COURT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS, CHUA KIONG, DOING BUSINESS UNDER THE FIRM NAME AND STYLE OF C.K. BAGOONG FACTORY, AND FEDERATION OF...

G.R. No. L-33324. June 16, 1978

Ponente: FERNANDO, Acting C.J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/16838dadfe84/>

FERNANDO, Acting C.J.:

In this prohibition proceeding, petitioner Associated Trade Unions sought to enjoin the then existing Court of Industrial Relations from conducting a certification election case on the ground of the pendency with the same tribunal of an unfair labor practice complaint. In the answer of private respondent Chua Kiong, doing business under the firm name and style of C.K. Bagoong Factory, the employer concerned, he alleged that due to the conflicting claims of majority representation by petitioner union and the other private respondent, Federation of Unions of Rizal, he filed with respondent Court a petition for certification election to determine which of said two unions should be the exclusive collective bargaining representative. He further pointed out that he had no preference as to which of said contending labor unions should be designated as the sole bargaining representative and that he was ready and willing to negotiate with the labor union thus chosen after a certification election was held.

Thereafter, the case was set further for oral argument with petitioner being represented but with respondents filing a motion to file memoranda in lieu of oral arguments. In due time, private respondent Chua Kiong, as well as private respondent Federation of Unions of Rizal, did submit their respective memoranda. No reply memorandum was submitted by petitioner. It was sometime later that the case was deemed submitted for decision.

On April 25, 1978, this Court issued a Minute Resolution requiring the parties to inform this Tribunal as to whether or not the issues raised in this prohibition proceeding had become moot and academic. Then came on May 10, 1978, this joint motion to dismiss filed by petitioner Union as well as respondent Chua Kiong, doing business as the C.K. Bagoong Factory, worded thus: "1. That Associated Trade Unions (ATU) is the petitioner union in the above entitled case; while respondent C.K. Bagoong Factory is the employer involved in the certification election case, and from which proceeding, this case arose; 2. That under date of April 25, 1978, a minute-resolution was issued by this Honorable Court, which states, that: 'This Court, after going over the records of this petition for a writ of prohibition, Resolved to require the parties within ten (10) days from receipt of this resolution to INFORM this Tribunal as to whether or not the issues raised therein had become moot and academic.' 3. That considering the abolition of the respondent CIR and the drastic changes in our law on labor relations, the issues raised in the above entitled case, indeed, had become moot and academic. Consequently, there is no longer any need to further resolve the issues raised in this case." [1]

The prayer was for the dismissal of the case as the same had become moot and academic. While private respondent Federation of Unions of Rizal did not file a responsive pleading to the resolution of this Court of April

25, 1978, its failure to do so does not alter the situation as petitioner itself had sought for the dismissal of this action. Moreover, in the memoranda in lieu of oral argument, submitted by such private respondent, it expressly prayed that the petition be dismissed.

WHEREFORE, this petition for prohibition is dismissed for being moot and academic.

Barredo, Antonio, Aquino, and Santos, JJ., concur.

Concepcion, Jr., J., on leave.

[1] Joint Motion to Dismiss dated May 5, 1978, 1.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.