

MAGDALENA U. VERIN, COMPLAINANT, VS. EFREN E. TAGALA, RESPONDENT.

Adm. Case No. 760. April 29, 1971

Ponente: VILLAMOR, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/130ea06dc38a/>

VILLAMOR, J.:

In this complaint for disbarment on the ground of immorality, complainant imputes upon Atty. Efren E. Tagala, Registrar of the Commission on Elections in Mercedes, Camarines Norte, the commission of acts amounting to rape, malicious mischief, estafa and habitual drunkenness. Required by this Court to file an answer, respondent did so, where he denied the material averments of the complaint and contended that in filing the case, complainant was actuated by ill-will, personal dislike for respondent and his wife, and a desire for revenge.

This Court referred the case to the Solicitor General for investigation, report and recommendation. Upon the parties' motions, the Solicitor-General directed the Provincial Fiscal of Camarines Norte to receive the parties' evidence, and, thereafter, submit a report.

Special Counsel Silvestre V. Garfin of the Office of the Provincial Fiscal, to whom the investigation of the case was assigned by the Provincial Fiscal, set the case for hearing on various dates beginning March 21, 1969; but on each date either complainant or her counsel, or both, failed to appear despite due notice to them, or moved for postponement, or failed to oppose respondent's motions for postponement, until August 22, 1969, when both parties, together with their respective counsel, appeared before the investigator; but then complainant intimated her wish to have the case amicably settled, to which respondent expressed his assent.

This development led to the postponement of the investigation to September 10, 1969, on which date complainant's counsel and respondent, together with his counsel, appeared; but the investigation had to be postponed once more in view of complainant's absence. As early as June 17, 1969, the investigator had warned complainant that his office would be constrained to recommend the dismissal of the case if she failed to appear at a subsequent hearing. When the case was again called for investigation on October 28, 1969, complainant and respondent, as well as respondent's counsel, appeared, but this time it was complainant's counsel who was absent. The investigator then and there issued a resolution recommending the dismissal of the case. Copy thereof was received by complainant and her counsel that same day. Complainant, either personally or thru counsel, did not file a motion to reconsider the resolution.

On the basis of the foregoing facts, the Solicitor General recommends the dismissal of the present case, because, according to him, it is apparent that complainant is no longer interested in pursuing her complaint, which was filed with this Court as far back as March 30, 1967. In the premises, this Court finds the Solicitor General's recommendation to be in order, and, accordingly, grants the same.

WHEREFORE, the instant case is hereby ordered dismissed.

Concepcion, C.J., Reyes, J.B.L., Dizon, Makalintal, Zaldivar, Castro, Fernando, Teehankee, Barredo, and

Makasiar, JJ., concur.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.