

**ISIDRO BALLETA, JR., PETITIONER, VS. HON. OSCAR LEVISTE, AS
PRESIDING JUDGE OF THE COURT OF FIRST INSTANCE OF CAPIZ,
BRANCH II, RESPONDENT.**

G.R. No. L-49907. August 21, 1979

Ponente: AQUINO, J.

Read online (full-text search, related cases, audio case brief): <https://batas.org/library/0ec233993483/>

AQUINO, J.:

The Court of First Instance of Capiz in its decision dated September 5, 1978 convicted Isidro Balleta, Jr. of consented abduction and sentenced him to an indeterminate penalty of three months and one day of arresto mayor, as minimum, to two years, four months and one day of prision correccional, as maximum, and to indemnify Josephine Cabison in the sum of three thousand pesos (Criminal Case No. 897).

Balleta did not appeal. He filed a petition for probation. The probation officer of Capiz recommended favorable action on the petition. The trial court denied it on the grounds that it would be better for the accused if he served his sentence so that he could reform himself, correct his selfish tendencies and avoid the scandal in the community that would be caused by the grant of probation and so that "the sanctity of marriage and the dignity of womanhood" would be upheld.

That order is assailed by Balleta in this certiorari case. Although the trial court did not specify the legal bases of its order, the grounds mentioned by it show that it relied on section 8 of the Probation Law of 1976, Presidential Decree No. 938 (72 O.G. 8506) (amended by Presidential Decree No. 1257) which provides that probation "shall be denied if the court finds that (a) the offender is in need of correctional treatment that can be provided most effectively by his commitment to an institution" and "(c) probation will depreciate the seriousness of the offense committed".

We hold that the trial court gravely abused its discretion in not granting probation (although, of course, it cannot be faulted for following its honest conviction).

According to the trial court's decision, the seventeen-year-old complainant girl filed the complaint for forcible abduction with rape at the behest of her parents and against her will; that arrangements were made for her marriage to the accused but the marriage did not go through because she was a minor and that the complainant pardoned the accused but the pardon did not extinguish his criminal liability because it was given after the filing of the complaint.

The probation officer found that the twenty-one-year old accused did not intend to cause a grave wrong and that he deserved to be under probation because he was very remorseful and he has the potential of a good probationer. The Solicitor General manifested that his office had no objection to the grant of probation to the accused.

Under those circumstances and considering that the accused does not fall within any of the five classes of disqualified offenders enumerated in section 9 of the Probation Law, he may be placed on probation under the conditions indicated in section 10 of the law and on the basis of the treatment plan proposed by the probation

officer.

WHEREFORE, the trial court's order of December 5, 1978, denying probation and ordering the accused to serve his sentence, is set aside. It is directed to grant his application for probation. No costs.

SO ORDERED.

Barredo, (Chairman), Antonio, Concepcion, Jr., and Guerrero*, JJ., concur.
Santos and Abad Santos, JJ., are abroad.

* Justice Guerrero was designated to sit in the Second Division.

ABOUT BATAS.ORG

Study smarter at BATAS.org

The Philippine bar review and law-school companion built around how your brain actually remembers.

The complete Philippine law library - free for everyone.

More than 100,000 Supreme Court decisions, Republic Acts, and executive issuances - full-text searchable, browsable by category and year, and always free. No paywall, no login. This PDF came from it.

Audio case-brief lectures.

Any decision becomes a podcast-style lecture - facts, issues, ruling, and doctrine - with read-along highlighting and a hands-free presentation mode. Study while commuting, working out, or resting your eyes.

Bar review built on the science of memory.

Complete, sequenced courses for all eight bar subjects, narrated like podcasts and reinforced with retrieval practice and spaced review - the study techniques with the strongest evidence behind them.

The full law-school curriculum.

One subscription unlocks the entire J.D. curriculum - every subject, every lesson, with your progress tracked down to the paragraph so you can pick up exactly where you left off, on any device.

Mock exams that tell you where you stand.

Timed multiple-choice exams graded instantly, with per-subject score breakdowns and focus suggestions that point you at your weakest topics first.

A study planner that keeps you on pace.

Set your schedule and BATAS tells you every day whether you are on track for bar day - and exactly which lesson to take next.

Start free at <https://batas.org>

The law library is free forever - and your first lesson is one click away.

The text of this document is an official public record and remains in the public domain. Formatting, presentation, and compilation © 2026 batas.org.

Downloaded free from the batas.org Law Library. Share it freely - the library is free for every law student, bar reviewee, and practitioner.