Title: People of the Philippines vs. Jesusa Figueroa y Coronado

#### Facts:

- On June 20, 2004, an informant reported the drug activities of Jesusa Figueroa, known as "Baby," to the PNP's Anti-Illegal Drugs Special Operations Task Force (AIDSOTF).
- Superintendent Nelson T. Yabut directed officers Garcia, Callora, and Pinili to investigate.
- On June 23, Callora and the informant met "Figueroa" at SM Bicutan. Figueroa expressed her lack of shabu but promised to inform when available.
- On July 2, 2004, Figueroa communicated her procurement of shabu.
- A buy-bust operation was set at a 7-Eleven in Pasig City with PO3 Callora as the poseurbuyer, equipped with specially marked two Php 500 bills.
- Figueroa arrived in a Toyota Revo. Upon showing the shabu, she noticed the police presence and fled.
- The police pursued and subsequently detained her. During the arrest, a boy threw a Chowking plastic bag containing shabu out of the car.
- Figueroa was arrested, and the substances tested positive for methamphetamine hydrochloride.

#### Procedural Posture:

- Figueroa was charged in two Information: one for possession and one for attempted sale of shabu.
- She pleaded not guilty, proceeding to trial in the Makati RTC.
- The RTC acquitted her of possession but convicted her of attempted sale, sentencing her to life imprisonment and a fine.
- She appealed, which was denied by the Court of Appeals.
- Figueroa further appealed to the Supreme Court.

### **Issues:**

- 1. Whether the buy-bust operation was valid despite lacking coordination with PDEA.
- 2. Whether there was an agreement for a drug sale between Callora and Figueroa.
- 3. Whether the conflicting testimonies of Callora and Garcia affected the credibility of the prosecution's case.
- 4. Whether the conviction for an attempt to sell was appropriate.

### Court's Decision:

1. The Court held the operation valid despite lacking PDEA coordination since statutory silence does not infer illegality.

- 2. The Court affirmed the transaction's validity; an established attempt sufficed through Figueroa's actions, observed directly by Callora.
- 3. Discrepancies in testimonies, unrelated to the crime's central fact, don't affect officer credibility, following assumption of regular procedure adherence.
- 4. Upheld conviction for attempted sale; Figueroa's actions met the overt acts required under definitions in the Revised Penal Code and Republic Act.

## Doctrine:

- The absence of PDEA coordination doesn't nullify a buy-bust operation's legality.
- Statements leading to a buy-bust operation are purposes of providing background, not establishing the truth.

### Class Notes:

- Criminal Law: Attempt (Article 6, Revised Penal Code)
- Dangerous Drugs Act (R.A. 9165, Sections on Sale and Attempt)
- Procedural: Confrontation Clause doesn't apply where independently relevant statements are at issue.

# Historical Background:

- This case reflects the intensified Philippine efforts under Republic Act No. 9165 to combat illicit drug trafficking, marking operational flexibilities for law enforcement despite statutory procedural deviations.