

### Title:

People of the Philippines vs. Leonardo Flores, Alex King Cruz, Servillano Parinas, and Ernesto Sarsoza

### Facts:

- **September 21, 1984**: Mercedes M. Dulay, a registered nurse, is raped and murdered by four men - Leonardo Flores, Alex King Cruz, Servillano Parinas, and Ernesto Sarsoza.
- **September 22, 1984**: Flores is apprehended and names his accomplices. Charges are filed against the four for rape with homicide and robbery at the Regional Trial Court (RTC) in Lingayen, Pangasinan.
- Flores's initial confession without counsel is questioned.
- Flores reaffirms his plea of guilty with counsel and testifies against co-defendants.

### Procedural Posture:

- **RTC Trial**: Evidence includes Flores's testimony and extrajudicial confession, autopsy reports of Mercedes, physical evidence such as an ipil-ipil branch, and testimonies from relatives of defendants.
- **RTC Decision**: Four death penalties are imposed on each accused, considering aggravating circumstances like evident premeditation, treachery, and nighttime. The death penalty abolition led to subsequent sentencing to reclusion perpetua.
- **Supreme Court Review**: Automatic review required for death penalty cases and adjustment after the 1987 Constitution's effect on the death penalty led to four penalties of reclusion perpetua.

### Issues:

1. **Admissibility and Reliability of Confession**: Whether the judicial confession of Flores implicating co-defendants was justified.
2. **Establishment of Conspiracy**: Whether the actions of the accused demonstrated a premeditated conspiracy to commit the crimes.
3. **Adequacy of Defense's Alibi**: Evaluating alibi presented by co-defendants and its influence on their presence at the crime scene.
4. **Proper Characterization of the Crime**: Whether the crimes committed fall under single, complex crimes of multiple rapes with homicide or involve separate criminal acts.
5. **Correct Sentencing**: Applicability of the death penalty commutation to reclusion perpetua, assessing aggravating and mitigating circumstances.

### Court's Decision:

- **Reliability of Confession**: The Court accepted Flores's testimonial confession, bolstered by the opportunity to cross-examine during the trial.
- **Conspiracy**: Proven beyond a reasonable doubt through coordinated actions and detailed accounts, establishing shared criminal intent.
- **Defense of Alibi**: Rejected due to credibility issues, the proximity of alibi locations to the crime scene, and the biased nature of testimony corroborators being relatives.
- **Characterization of the Crime**: Confirmed as special complex crime of rape with homicide, rejecting additional robbery charge de-emphasized in the course of the crime execution.
- **Sentencing**: Revised to four penalties of reclusion perpetua in place of death penalties, aligning with constitutional guidelines. Imposed additional penalties for theft.

### ### Doctrine:

- **Judicial Confession**: Implicating others is permissible when given in open court with cross-examination, establishing validity for conspiracy proof [See People vs. Mabassa, 65 Phil. 538].
- **Conspiracy**: Coordinated criminal actions with shared intent substantiate conspiracy charges [People vs. Sazon, G.R. No. 89684, September 18, 1990].
- **Special Complex Crime**: Designates rape with homicide when closely interconnected, categorizing under Article 335 of the Revised Penal Code as amended by RA Nos. 2632 & 4111.

### ### Class Notes:

1. **Rape with Homicide (Art. 335, Revised Penal Code)**:
  - **Key Elements**: Sexual assault followed by murder.
  - **Aggravating Circumstances Considered**: Evident premeditation, treachery, nighttime.
  - **Legal Outcomes**: Single, indivisible penalties applied for interconnected crimes, conspiracy elevating charges.
2. **Defense of Alibi**:
  - **Criteria**: Establishes physical impossibility of presence at the crime scene.
  - **Credibility**: Bias from relatives weakens alibi; credible third-party corroboration needed.
3. **Testimonial Confession vs. Extrajudicial Confession**:
  - **Testimonial (Court)**: Permits incrimination of co-accused with cross-examination rights.
  - **Extrajudicial (Pre-Trial)**: Admissible only against the confessant.

### Historical Background:

- **\*\*Evolving Penal Standards\*\***: Significance of death penalty abolition influencing sentencing revisions post-1987 Constitution, reflecting shifting judicial philosophies on human rights.
- **\*\*Procedural Developments\*\***: Importance of cross-examination rights in validating testimonial confessions, juxtaposed with stringent safeguards against extrajudicial admissions without counsel to uphold fair trial principles.