

### Title: Asian Transmission Corporation v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, G.R. No. 210817, March 3, 2020

### Facts:

- **Asian Transmission Corporation (ATC)** is a corporation manufacturing motor vehicle transmission components and engines for Mitsubishi. It filed its annual tax information returns for the year 2002.
- **Commissioner of Internal Revenue (CIR)** issued a Letter of Authority to ATC on August 11, 2004, authorizing revenue officers to examine ATC's books and records for the taxable year 2002.
- CIR issued Preliminary Assessment Notices (PAN) resulting in negotiations where ATC executed several "Waivers of the Defense of Prescription Under the Statute of Limitations."
- **Waivers** consisted of:
  - **First Waiver**: Executed on September 8, 2004, extended until June 30, 2005.
  - **Second Waiver**: Executed on March 3, 2005, extended until December 31, 2005.
  - **Third Waiver**: Executed on November 10, 2005, extended until June 30, 2006.
  - **Fourth Waiver**: Executed on March 21, 2006, extended until December 31, 2006.
  - **Fifth Waiver**: Executed on March 21, 2006, extended until June 30, 2007.
  - **Sixth Waiver**: Executed on April 18, 2007, extended until December 31, 2007.
  - **Seventh Waiver**: Executed on October 25, 2007, extended until June 30, 2008.
  - **Eighth Waiver**: Executed on May 30, 2008, extended until December 31, 2008.
- On February 28, 2008, ATC availed of the Tax Amnesty Program under Republic Act No. 9480.
- On July 15, 2008, CIR issued a Formal Letter of Demand for deficiency taxes totaling Php75,696,616.75. ATC filed a protest on August 14, 2008.
- Upon receiving the Final Decision on Disputed Assessment from CIR, ATC appealed to the Court of Tax Appeals (CTA).

### Procedural Posture:

1. **CTA in Division**: Declared the waivers invalid based on defects and granted ATC's petition, canceling the tax deficiency.
2. **CTA En Banc**: Reversed the CTA in Division, validating the waivers and remanding the case to determine the merits.
3. **ATC**: Filed a Motion for Reconsideration and Supplemental Motion for Reconsideration, both denied.
4. **Supreme Court**: ATC appealed, asserting the CTA En Banc acted in excess of jurisdiction and misapplied existing rulings and equitable principles.

### ### Issues:

1. Whether the waivers executed by ATC were invalid for extending the prescriptive period.
2. Whether equitable principles like in pari delicto, unclean hands, and estoppel should apply.

### ### Court's Decision:

- The Supreme Court upheld the CTA En Banc's decision, applying equitable principles and validating the waivers despite defects.

### ### Issue Resolutions:

1. **Invalid Waivers**: The court held that the defects in waivers attributed to both BIR and ATC, making them equally at fault (in pari delicto). The taxpayer, ATC, also had the primary responsibility for the waiver preparation, and thus could not solely fault BIR.
2. **Equitable Principles**:
  - **In Pari Delicto**: Both parties had engaged in improper conduct equally.
  - **Unclean Hands**: ATC should not benefit from its wrongdoing of executing defective waivers.
  - **Estoppel**: ATC was estopped from impugning waivers it had benefited from, which allowed extending the prescriptive period, gathering documents, and despite resulting in adverse final assessment.

### ### Doctrine:

1. **Taxpayer Responsibility**: Taxpayers bear primary responsibility for preparing waivers for tax assessments.
2. **In Pari Delicto**: Equally culpable parties cannot accuse each other of faults, emphasizing mutual accountability.
3. **Public Policy on Taxes**: Public policy demands upholding tax collection principles even amidst procedural defects to ensure government operations.

### ### Class Notes:

- **Key Principles**:
  1. **Validity of Waivers**: Even if waivers have procedural defects, responsibility often lies with taxpayers.
  2. **Equal Culpability (In Pari Delicto)**: When both parties are at fault, neither can exclusively benefit.
  3. **Estoppel in Tax Cases**: Preventing a party from denying claims if it previously accepted benefits from related acts.

- **\*\*Statutory Provisions\*\***:
- **\*\*National Internal Revenue Code (NIRC)\*\***: Statutes of limitations relevant to tax assessments.
- **\*\*Republic Act No. 9480\*\***: Context for Tax Amnesty.

### ### Historical Background:

The case reflects ongoing issues in the administrative procedures of tax collection by the Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR) and highlights systemic inadequacies in handling tax waivers. It shows efforts to balance procedural rigor with pragmatic governance needs, ensuring that tax compliance is sustained, even when administrative lapses occur. The ruling remains significant in defining taxpayer and government responsibilities in tax matters.