#### ### Title:

\*\*People of the Philippines vs. Domingo Casinillo, G.R. No. 100413 (October 22, 1990)\*\*

#### ### Facts:

- \*\*Step-by-Step Facts and Procedural History:\*\*
- 1. \*\*Incident and Initial Complaints (May 1989):\*\* On May 13, 1989, Leticia Soria, an 18year-old resident of Barangay Danao, Mati, Davao Oriental, was raped by Domingo Casinillo. Alongside, there were complaints for robbery and robbery with rape against Casinillo and his co-accused, Danilo Casinillo, Rolando Valles, and Danilo Valles.
- 2. \*\*Filing of Complaint (May 17, 1989):\*\* Leticia Soria filed a complaint before the Municipal Trial Court of Mati, Davao Oriental on May 17, 1989. The municipal court then conducted a preliminary investigation and found reasonable grounds to hold the accused for trial.
- 3. \*\*Filing of Information (July 12, 1989):\*\* Provincial Prosecutor Salvador M. Bijis filed an Information against Domingo Casinillo for rape (Criminal Case No. 1847) and separate Informations for robbery with rape (Criminal Case No. 1844) and robbery in band (Criminal Case No. 1845) against Casinillo and his co-accused.
- 4. \*\*Arraignment and Pleas (August 8, 1989):\*\* During arraignment, Domingo Casinillo and his co-accused entered pleas of not guilty in the three cases.
- 5. \*\*Joint Trial and Decision (October 22, 1990):\*\* All three cases were jointly tried by RTC Branch 6 (Mati), resulting in the acquittal of all accused in Criminal Cases Nos. 1844 and 1845. However, the trial court convicted Domingo Casinillo in Criminal Case No. 1847 for rape, sentencing him to Reclusion Perpetua and ordering him to pay the victim P20,000.00 in damages and P10,000.00 in attorney's fees.
- 6. \*\*Appeal to Supreme Court (October 25, 1990):\*\* Dissatisfied with the decision, Domingo Casinillo filed a Notice of Appeal on October 25, 1990.

#### ### Issues:

- \*\*Issues Addressed by the Supreme Court:\*\*
- 1. \*\*Positive Identification of the Accused:\*\* Did Leticia Soria positively identify Domingo Casinillo as her assailant?
- 2. \*\*Credibility of the Victim's Testimony:\*\* Is Leticia Soria's testimony credible and

# trustworthy?

3. \*\*Sufficiency of Evidence for Conviction:\*\* Was there sufficient evidence proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

### ### Court's Decision:

\*\*Supreme Court's Ruling on Each Issue:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Positive Identification:\*\*
- \*\*Ruling:\*\* The Supreme Court held that Leticia Soria positively identified Domingo Casinillo as her assailant. Her identification was deemed credible as it was consistently and convincingly detailed right from the time of the incident through her testimony in court.
- \*\*Analysis:\*\* The fluorescent lamp in the kitchen provided sufficient lighting, allowing her to recognize Casinillo. Her familiarity with Casinillo, as he was her classmate's uncle, further substantiated her identification.
- 2. \*\*Credibility of Testimony:\*\*
- \*\*Ruling:\*\* Soria's testimony was found credible, as there was no evidence suggesting any improper motive for falsely accusing Casinillo.
- \*\*Analysis:\*\* The Supreme Court emphasized the lack of evidence of any reason for Soria to undergo the ordeal of a public trial and medical examination unless she was genuinely seeking justice for being raped.
- 3. \*\*Sufficiency of Evidence:\*\*
- \*\*Ruling:\*\* The evidence presented by the prosecution was sufficient to establish guilt beyond reasonable doubt.
- \*\*Analysis:\*\* Soria's unwavering and detailed account of the incident, along with the corroborative medical evidence showing hymenal lacerations and multiple contusions, substantiated the conviction. The defense of alibi was rejected as weak and uncorroborated by strong evidence.

#### ### Doctrine:

\*\*Key Doctrines Established:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Credibility of Witness Testimony:\*\* The testimony of a rape victim is given full faith and credit, especially when it comes from a witness who is sincere and has no motive to falsely testify.
- 2. \*\*Positive Identification vs. Alibi:\*\* Positive identification by a credible witness can outweigh defenses of denial and alibi, especially when corroborated by other pieces of

evidence.

## ### Class Notes:

- 1. \*\*Elements of Rape (under Article 335, RPC):\*\*
- \*\*Carnal knowledge of a woman\*\*
- Done through force, threat, or intimidation
- 2. \*\*Positive Identification:\*\* Firm, clear, and unequivocal identification by a competent witness overrules alibi.
- 3. \*\*Standard of Proof:\*\* Prosecution must prove guilt beyond reasonable doubt, relying solely on its merit without aiding from defense inadequacies.
- 4. \*\*Evaluation of Testimony:\*\* Scrutinize the testimony with extreme caution, especially in rape cases, where typically only the victim and rapist are present.
- 5. \*\*Defense of Alibi:\*\* Must demonstrate physical impossibility to be at the crime scene; typically requires strong corroboration.

## ### Historical Background:

\*\*Context of the Case in a Historical Perspective: \*\*

During the late 1980s and early 1990s, there was a marked increase in efforts to uphold and ensure justice in sexual assault cases in the Philippines. The courts were particularly attentive to the credibility of victims in rape cases, often with emphasis on their courage to come forward despite societal stigmatization. This period reflected the judicial system's attempts to maintain rigorous standards of evidence while being sensitive to the profound impact and grievous nature of sexual crimes. The case of Domingo Casinillo became significant in affirming principles that testimonies of rape victims should be given utmost credence when free from suspicion of ulterior motives.