\*\*Title: Sergio F. Naguiat, Doing Business Under the Name and Style Sergio F. Naguiat Ent., Inc., & Clark Field Taxi, Inc. vs. National Labor Relations Commission, National Organization of Workingmen and its Members, Leonardo T. Galang, et al.\*\*

\*\*Facts:\*\*

## 1. \*\*Contract with AAFES:\*\*

- Petitioner Clark Field Taxi, Inc. (CFTI), operated a taxi service within Clark Air Base under a concessionaire's contract with the Army Air Force Exchange Services (AAFES).
- Sergio F. Naguiat was CFTI's president, and Antolin T. Naguiat the vice-president.

# 2. \*\*Employment of Respondents:\*\*

- Respondents were employed as taxicab drivers by CFTI, paying a daily boundary fee and handling all incidental expenses, including maintenance and gasoline.

### 3. \*\*Closure of Clark Air Base:\*\*

- Due to the phase-out of US military bases, including Clark Air Base, the individual respondents' employment was terminated on November 26, 1991.

# 4. \*\*Separation Pay Negotiations:\*\*

- AAFES Taxi Drivers Association and CFTI agreed on a separation benefit of P500 for every year of service. Most drivers accepted this, but the respondents did not.

## 5. \*\*Filing a Complaint:\*\*

- Respondents, after disaffiliating from the union, joined the National Organization of Workingmen (NOWM) and filed a complaint for payment of separation pay based on their earnings of US\$15 daily.

### 6. \*\*Labor Arbiter's Decision:\*\*

- The labor arbiter ordered CFTI to pay P1,200 for every year of service. This decision was appealed.

#### 7. \*\*NLRC's Resolution:\*\*

- The NLRC modified the decision, awarding US\$120 (one-half monthly earnings of \$240) or its peso equivalent per year of service and holding Naguiat Enterprises and its officers jointly and severally liable.
- The motion for reconsideration by petitioners was denied.

# 8. \*\*Supreme Court Petition:\*\*

- Petitioners pursued the case to the Supreme Court via certiorari under Rule 65, assailing the NLRC resolutions.

#### \*\*Issues:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Grave Abuse of Discretion by NLRC:\*\*
- Whether the NLRC committed grave abuse of discretion in increasing the severance pay awarded by the labor arbiter.
- 2. \*\*Representation Authority:\*\*
- Whether NOWM could validly represent the respondents.
- 3. \*\*Corporate and Personal Liability:\*\*
- Whether Sergio F. Naguiat Enterprises, Inc., and Sergio and Antolin Naguiat could be held jointly and severally liable for CFTI's obligations.
- 4. \*\*Due Process:\*\*
- Whether the individual Naguiats were denied due process since they were not impleaded as parties to the initial complaint.

## \*\*Court's Decision:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Grave Abuse of Discretion:\*\*
- \*\*Amount of Separation Pay:\*\* The Court found no grave abuse of discretion in the NLRC's ruling. The NLRC correctly based the separation pay on the unrefuted claim of the drivers' monthly earnings of \$240.
- \*\*Financial Losses:\*\* Petitioners failed to provide substantial evidence of financial losses to justify not paying separation pay due to the phase-out of Clark Air Base.
- 2. \*\*Representation Authority:\*\*
- \*\*Estoppel:\*\* Petitioners were estopped from questioning NOWM's representation authority as they had not raised this issue timely before labor proceedings.
- 3. \*\*Corporate and Personal Liability:\*\*
- \*\*Naguiat Enterprises:\*\* There was no substantial evidence proving Naguiat Enterprises as the indirect employer or a labor-only contractor. The labor agreements and employment documents showed CFTI as the employer.

- \*\*Sergio F. Naguiat (Liability):\*\* As president of CFTI and involved actively in management, he could be held personally liable based on \*\*A.C. Ransom Labor Union-CCLU vs. NLRC\*\* interpreting corporate officers' liability for corporate torts.
- \*\*Antolin T. Naguiat (Exemption):\*\* Did not show participation in management sufficient to hold him personally liable.

### 4. \*\*Due Process:\*\*

- The Court found no denial of due process. The individual Naguiats submitted their position papers voluntarily, thus subjecting themselves to the jurisdiction of the labor arbiter.

### \*\*Doctrine:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Separation Pay Calculation:\*\*
- The basis for separation pay includes the last monthly earnings multiplied by the years of service, as stipulated under Article 283 of the Labor Code.
- 2. \*\*Solidary Liability of Corporate Officers:\*\*
- Corporate officers can be held jointly and severally liable for corporate obligations if found to manage and control business operations directly, especially in closely-held family corporations, as outlined in \*\*A.C. Ransom Labor Union-CCLU vs. NLRC\*\* and Section 100 (5) of the Corporation Code.

### \*\*Class Notes:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Article 283 (Labor Code):\*\* Provides for separation pay in cases of retrenchment, closures or cessation of operations not due to financial reverses.
- 2. \*\*A.C. Ransom Principle:\*\* Establishes corporate officers' personal liability in labor claims when officers are found directly managing corporate operations.
- 3. \*\*Estoppel in Labor Representation:\*\* Fails to timely challenge representation authority precludes raising the argument later.

# \*\*Historical Background:\*\*

- \*\*Phase-out of US Military Bases:\*\* The case arose amidst the geopolitical shift following the expiration of the US-Philippines military bases agreement, marking the closure of US military operations at Clark Air Base and consequent employment impacts on local Filipino

workers.

\*\*WHEREFORE, the Supreme Court MODIFIED the NLRC Resolution:\*\*

- 1. \*\*CFTI and Sergio F. Naguiat:\*\* Jointly and severally liable for paying the respondents \$120 or its peso equivalent per year of service.
- 2. \*\*Naguiat Enterprises and Antolin T. Naguiat:\*\* Absolved from liability.

\*\*SO ORDERED.\*\*