Title:

Colegio De San Juan De Letran v. Isidra Dela Rosa-Meris

Facts:

- **Employment History:**
- Isidra Dela Rosa-Meris was employed by Colegio De San Juan De Letran from January 1971 and progressively promoted to Master Teacher by 1982.
- Resigned in March 1991 and was rehired as a Junior Teacher C in February-April 1998, and as a substitute teacher from October 1999 until her termination on October 3, 2003.

Incident & Investigations:

- On September 10, 2003, parents lodged complaints alleging Dela Rosa-Meris' unprofessional behavior and grade tampering.
- The school conducted an investigation revealing discrepancies between her "Dirty Records" and "Clean Records," including unauthorized grade alterations.

School's Actions:

- On September 12, 2003, the school sent Dela Rosa-Meris a letter requesting an explanation, but she refused to receive it.
- The letter was eventually delivered via registered mail and received by Dela Rosa-Meris on September 23, 2003.

Disciplinary Actions:

- Dela Rosa-Meris failed to provide a written explanation; as result, she was terminated effective October 3, 2003, following a meeting with Rev. Fr. Edwin Lao.

Labor Disputes:

- Dela Rosa-Meris filed a complaint for illegal dismissal on October 6, 2003.
- The Labor Arbiter upheld the school's dismissal as valid under the grounds of serious misconduct.
- On appeal, the NLRC initially modified the decision favoring Dela Rosa-Meris for separation benefits but later affirmed the Labor Arbiter's decision.

Court of Appeals:

- Found the dismissal illegal, awarding separation pay, back wages, and other compensations.
- Both parties moved for reconsideration.
- The CA slightly modified its decision to include attorney's fees and imposed interest.

Issues:

- 1. Legality of CA's decision considering the non-perfection of respondent's appeal due to lack of certification of non-forum shopping.
- 2. Whether Dela Rosa-Meris was dismissed for cause and with due process and if the CA erred in reversing NLRC's findings.
- 3. Appropriateness of awarding attorney's fees and interests added by the CA on the monetary award.

Court's Decision:

ISSUE 1: Non-Perfection of Appeal

- The Supreme Court concluded that respondent's appeal was not perfected as she failed to attach a certification of non-forum shopping, resulting in the LA's decision becoming final and executory.

ISSUE 2: Validity of Dismissal

- Discrepancies and unauthorized alterations in respondent's grading records were validated by physical evidence.
- The Court ruled these acts constituted serious misconduct under the Labor Code and Private School Manual, and justified dismissal.
- The procedural aspect of the dismissal was found compliant as the respondent had ample opportunity to defend herself but chose not to.

ISSUE 3: Attorney's Fees & Interests

- The Court did not specifically address this issue in the context of the decision analysis, focusing instead more on the procedural and substantive grounds of the appeal and dismissal.

Doctrine:

- 1. **Serious Misconduct & Tampering Records:**
- Teachers are held to high standards of integrity, and falsifying school records, particularly altering grades unilaterally, constitutes serious misconduct.
- Reference: Technological Institute of the Philippines Teachers and Employees Organization (TIPTEO) v. Court of Appeals.

2. **Due Process in Termination:**

- Employers must adhere to both substantive and procedural due process principles.
- Reference: Article 282(a) of the Labor Code and related labor laws.

Class Notes:

- **Elements of Serious Misconduct:**
- Dishonesty, falsification of documents (e.g., records of grades).
- Unauthorized exercise of teacher's discretion.
- Violation of institutional rules and procedures.
- **Key Statutory Provisions:**
- **Labor Code of the Philippines, Article 282(a):** Grounds for dismissal due to serious misconduct.
- **Manual of Regulation for Private Schools, Section 79 & 94(b):** Regulations on grading integrity and disciplinary grounds.
- **Due Process in Dismissal:**
- Written notice, chance to explain, a hearing, and final notice of termination.

Historical Background:

This case emphasizes strict adherence to procedural rules and the role of integrity in the education sector. It underscores the importance of clarity, consistency, and legality in employment relations, especially in educational institutions where the influence on young minds necessitates exemplary conduct from educators.