Title: Manila Gas Corporation vs. Collector of Internal Revenue, 62 Phil. 895 (1936)

Facts:

Manila Gas Corporation, a company organized under Philippine laws, provides gas services in Manila. The company has two associated corporations: Islands Gas and Electric Company (New York) and General Finance Company (Zurich, Switzerland). For the years 1930-1932, Manila Gas paid dividends totaling P1,348,847.50 to Islands Gas and Electric Company and interest on bonds and other debts totaling P543,244.90 to both foreign companies. Manila Gas withheld taxes of P56,757.37 on the dividends and interest, as required by the Collector of Internal Revenue, and paid these taxes under protest. The company sought to recover the amount, arguing that the taxes imposed were unconstitutional and oppressive.

The trial court dismissed the complaint, and Manila Gas appealed, asserting two main errors:

- 1. The imposition of taxes on dividends to foreign stockholders violates the company's franchise terms and is oppressive and inequitable.
- 2. Interest on bonds and debts paid to non-resident corporations should not be considered income from Philippine sources and therefore should not be taxed under Philippine law.

The Supreme Court examined each argument raised by the plaintiff, structuring its decision by addressing the two assigned errors sequentially.

Issues:

- 1. Whether the dividends paid by Manila Gas to its foreign stockholders are subject to Philippine income tax.
- 2. Whether the interest on bonds and other indebtedness paid by Manila Gas to non-resident corporations constitutes income from Philippine sources and is thus subject to Philippine income tax.

Court's Decision:

- **Issue 1: Dividends Tax**
- The court reaffirmed that Manila Gas Corporation, as a distinct legal entity from its stockholders, can face corporate taxation. Importantly, the tax exemption clause in the company's franchise doesn't cover taxes assessed on dividends paid to shareholders.
- The Philippine Telephone and Telegraph Company case precedent illustrated that revenue received as dividends by stockholders was taxable, demonstrating that tax exemptions granted to the corporation itself didn't extend to its stockholders' incomes.

Issue 2: Interest Tax

- Interest income paid on bonds and other forms of indebtedness by Manila Gas to foreign corporations were considered income from sources within the Philippines, thus subject to Philippine tax laws.
- The defendant's argument referenced the amended law, Act No. 3761, clarifying that income (including interest) derived from both within and outside the Philippines falls under Philippine taxing authority. There were no convincing grounds presented to invalidate the amending statute.

Doctrine:

- Corporate income tax imposed under Philippine law applies to dividends paid to foreign shareholders, even if the company's franchise includes certain tax exemptions.
- Income, specifically interest on bonds and other obligations, paid to or earned by foreign corporations but generated from Philippine sources is subject to Philippine income tax. The situs of income's origin is definitive for tax purposes, not the place of its receipt.

Class Notes:

Elements/Concepts:

- 1. **Taxation Principle: Distinct Legal Personality**
- Principle that a corporation's tax obligations differ from those of its shareholders.
- Reference: Manila Gas Corporation's franchise tax clause and related jurisprudence.
- 2. **Income Source Determination**
- Defines income sources based on location of origin rather than residence of recipient or place of payment.
- Reference: Income Tax Law (Act No. 3761), Sections 10(a) and 13(e).

Historical Background:

- In the 1930s, the Philippine government navigated issues of foreign investment and domestic taxation, particularly taxing revenue earned by non-residents from local entities.
- Cases like this illustrate the evolving nature of corporate tax law and the balancing act between encouraging foreign investment and ensuring adequate domestic revenue generation.