A.M. No. RTJ-20-2582 (Formerly A.M. No. 20-06-74-RTC). August 16,

Title: Office of the Court Administrator v. Judge Hermes B. Montero, et al.

## Facts:

The case arose from findings of irregularities during two judicial audits in RTC Toledo City, Branch 59. The audits, conducted by the Office of the Court Administrator (OCA) in July 2018 and May 2019, were initiated due to unconfirmed reports about the court's leniency towards annulment cases. The audits revealed procedural violations by Judge Hermes B. Montero, Branch Clerk Atty. Ma. Gay A. Erni-Puentenegra, and Process Server Annabelle U. Rodriguez.

- 1. \*\*Against Judge Montero:\*\*
- Multiple procedural lapses in annulment and declaration of nullity cases, such as:
- Failure to acquire jurisdiction over respondents.
- Absence of summons returns.
- Premature granting of plaintiffs' motions before the Solicitor General's appearance.
- Neglect in addressing various pending criminal and civil cases.
- Failure to require drug dependency exams for accused in drug cases.
- 2. \*\*Against Atty. Erni-Puentenegra:\*\*
- Failure to monitor summons returns effectively.
- Mismanagement of case records.
- Lack of action on irregularities reminiscent of Judge Montero's case.
- 3. \*\*Against Rodriguez:\*\*
- Immediate use of substituted service without satisfying requisites.
- Failure to present valid travel documentation for summons delivery.

The respondents submitted letters of explanation. Judge Montero admitted lapses, attributed to his reliance on staff and heavy caseload. Atty. Erni-Puentenegra and Rodriguez also explained their sides, admitting to failing at certain duties but citing technical and manpower limitations.

The OCA recommended administrative liability, suggesting penalties ranging from dismissal and forfeiture of benefits for Judge Montero (who retired), to fines for Atty. Erni-Puentenegra, and suspension for Rodriguez.

#### Issues:

1. Whether Judge Montero committed gross ignorance of the law and undue delay in

A.M. No. RTJ-20-2582 (Formerly A.M. No. 20-06-74-RTC). August 16, 2022 (Case Brief / Digest)

rendering decisions.

2. Whether Atty. Erni-Puentenegra and Rodriguez committed simple neglect of duty.

## Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court largely concurred with the OCA's findings:

- \*\*Judge Montero\*\*:
- Found guilty of gross ignorance of the law. His repeated legal missteps in annulment and drug cases, despite their fundamental nature, amounted to gross negligence and bad faith.
- Due to retirement, the Court imposed forfeiture of benefits (except leave credits), disqualification from public office, and a fine of P200,000 for each distinct offense.
- \*\*Atty. Erni-Puentenegra\*\*:
- Guilty of simple neglect of duty for administrative failures such as oversight of proper service of summons. With her appointment as City Prosecutor, she was fined P100,000.
- \*\*Rodriguez\*\*:
- Found guilty of simple neglect of duty due to improper service procedures. She was penalized with a six-month suspension without pay.

## Doctrine:

The case underscores stringent adherence to procedural rules for judicial processes, emphasizing that even clerical and administrative mistakes can lead to significant penalties. It upholds that retirement does not preclude liability if proceedings begin during incumbency, as seen in Judge Montero's case.

#### Class Notes:

- Gross Ignorance of Law: Consistent misapplying laws, showing egregious error or bad faith.
- Simple Neglect of Duty: Carelessness or inaction in fulfilling duties.
- Rule 140: Governs judicial and administrative discipline.

# Historical Background:

The backdrop of the case reflects ongoing concerns about judicial integrity, particularly in family law and drug-related cases, where procedural shortcuts undermine justice. The case reiterates the rigorous standards expected from members of the judiciary to preserve public trust.