Title: People of the Philippines vs. Nomer Wisco Y Failano, GR No. 07468

Facts:

- **November 2, 2013**: At 10:00 PM, an informant reports to the Pasuquin Municipal Police about Nomer Wisco selling shabu.
- **Buy-Bust Operation Organized**: Police Chief Lauro Milan plans the operation. PO1 Rosal is the poseur-buyer.
- **Operation Execution**: PO1 Rosal, with the informant, proceeds to Barangay 4, engages with Wisco, buying shabu using marked money.
- **Apprehension**: Post-transaction, Wisco tries to escape but is intercepted by SPO1 Caldito and falls into a canal.
- **Evidence Recovery**: During the frisk, a plastic sachet with shabu, a cellphone, and a lighter are recovered. The retrieval is conducted in the presence of local officials but without DOJ or media representation.
- **Chain of Custody**: Conflicting testimonies from officers involved casting doubt on the continuity and integrity of evidence handling.

Procedural Posture:

- **RTC Proceedings**: Wisco pleads not guilty. RTC convicts him on March 23, 2015, concluding a valid buy-bust, rejecting Wisco's denial of charges.
- **CA Appeal**: Wisco appeals, but the CA upholds his conviction on November 29, 2016, emphasizing he was caught in the act.

Issues:

- 1. Whether the buy-bust operation against Nomer Wisco was valid.
- 2. Whether the prosecution established the chain of custody of the seized drugs properly.
- 3. Whether Wisco was guilty beyond reasonable doubt of illegal sale of dangerous drugs.
- 4. Whether the absence of DOJ and media representatives at the inventory violated procedural safeguards.

Court's Decision:

- **Sale Transaction Validity**: The Court acknowledges the sale, dismissing Wisco's denial in favor of police officer testimonies.
- **Chain of Custody**: The Supreme Court identifies several procedural lapses and inconsistencies affecting evidence integrity, crucial to a conviction in drug cases.
- **Lack of Witnesses**: Absence of mandatory representatives (DOJ, media) during inventory was unjustified, impacting the legality of the seizure and inventory.

- **Acquittal**: Owing to these chain of custody errors compromising evidence identity, the Court reverses the conviction and orders Wisco's release.

Doctrine:

- To secure a drug conviction, the prosecution must establish the identity and continuity of the seized drugs (chain of custody rule), ensuring there's no possibility of tampering.
- The absence of mandatory witnesses during inventory must be justified to preserve evidence integrity.

Class Notes:

- 1. **Elements of Illegal Drug Sale** (R.A. No. 9165): Identity of seller/buyer, object-sale consideration, delivery, and payment.
- 2. **Chain of Custody**: Critical to maintaining integrity of drug evidence.
- 3. **DUE Process in Drug Seizure**: Requires presence of accused's representative, DOJ, media, and elected officials during drug inventory.

Historical Background:

The case unfolds under the "Comprehensive Dangerous Drugs Act of 2002" (R.A. No. 9165), a law reflecting the Philippines' stringent stance against illegal drugs. This legal landscape formed against a backdrop of increasing drug-related crimes, invoking comprehensive laws to combat narcotics proliferation effectively. The procedural scrutiny in this case emphasizes adherence to legal safeguards against wrongful convictions due to drug evidence mishandling.