

### Title: People of the Philippines v. Alelie Tolentino

### Facts:

1. **Illegal Recruitment Charges:** Alelie Tolentino was charged with illegal recruitment and five counts of estafa under Article 315, paragraph 2(a) of the Revised Penal Code.
2. **Specifics of Illegal Recruitment:** Between August and November 2001, Tolentino allegedly advertised for employment and enlisted several persons, promising overseas work in Korea without securing a proper license or permit.
3. **Complainants:**
  - Lederle Panesa, Orlando Layoso, Jimmy Lejos, Marcelino Lejos, and Donna Magboo were promised employment in Korea for a fee.
  - Payments ranging between ₱15,000 to ₱35,000 were made as partial fees.
4. **Monetary Settlement and Misrepresentation:**
  - Partial payments were made for medical examinations, visas, and other processing documents.
  - No employment materialized, and contracts provided were not valid.
5. **Legal Proceedings:**
  - **Trial Court Proceedings:**
    - The trial court found Tolentino guilty of large-scale illegal recruitment constituting economic sabotage and sentenced her to life imprisonment and a fine of ₱500,000.
    - She was also convicted of five counts of estafa, leading to various terms of imprisonment and the responsibility to return the collected amounts to the victims.
  - **Court of Appeals Proceedings:**
    - The appeal was filed, and the Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court's decision regarding Tolentino's guilt on both charges.
6. **Supreme Court Proceedings:**
  - The case was elevated to the Supreme Court after affirmations by the lower courts.

### Issues:

1. **Was Alelie Tolentino guilty of illegal recruitment in large scale?**
2. **Was Tolentino guilty of estafa under Article 315(2)(a) of the Revised Penal Code?**
3. **Were the penalties imposed by the lower courts appropriate and within legal standards?**

### Court's Decision:

1. **Illegal Recruitment in Large Scale:**

- **Elements and Evidence Examined:**

- Proof of recruitment activities without a POEA license.
- Defining recruitment as outlined by both the Labor Code and RA 8042.
- Evidence showing Tolentino enlisted and promised employment to multiple complainants by charging fees and misrepresenting her capacity.
- The Supreme Court affirmed the conviction of illegal recruitment in large scale, recognizing that Tolentino conducted activities constituting recruitment without a valid license or authority. The case was established as economic sabotage.
- **Modification on Penalty:** Life imprisonment was affirmed, with a modification increasing the fine to ₱1,000,000.

2. **Estafa:**

- **Estafa Defined:**

- The court highlighted the elements of estafa: deceit to induce another party to part with money or property and subsequent damage to the offended party.
- **Application of Elements:**
  - Tolentino's actions exemplified deceit by promising overseas employment and collecting fees, leading to the financial damage to the complainants.
- **Penalty Adjustments:** Specific penalties were adjusted where incorrect minimum term statutes were previously applied:
  - Criminal Case No. 02-756: 2 years to 6 years and 1 day.
  - Criminal Case Nos. 02-757, 02-758, 02-759: 2 years to 8 years.
  - Criminal Case No. 02-760: 2 years to 6 years and 1 day.

### Doctrine:

- **Illegal Recruitment:** Any act of recruitment including enlisting, contracting or promising for employment without a valid license constitutes illegal recruitment. When done against three or more individuals, it escalates to large-scale recruitment, regarded as economic sabotage.
- **Estafa:** The crime involves deceit to induce parting with property or money, resulting in damage and is punishable under Article 315(2)(a) of the Revised Penal Code.

### Class Notes:

- **Elements of Illegal Recruitment:**
  - Undertaking recruitment activity.
  - Absence of license or authority.

- Commitments made to at least three persons.
- **\*\*Elements of Estafa under Art. 315(2)(a):\*\***
- Fraudulent pretenses or deceit.
- Financial prejudice to the victim.
- **\*\*Statute Citation:\*\*** Article 315, RPC and RA 8042.
- Application:
- Deceitful representation regarding employment overseas.
- Monetary damage as a result of fraudulent recruitment.

**### Historical Background:**

- **\*\*Context:\*\*** The case demonstrates a recurring issue in the Philippines of illegal recruitment and exploitation of individuals seeking overseas employment. The Labor Code and RA 8042 aim to regulate this sector to protect citizens from fraud.
- The Supreme Court's decision underlines the commitment to strict enforcement of laws against illegal recruitment and financial fraud to safeguard the welfare of Filipino migrant workers.