### Title:

Cebu Royal Plant (San Miguel Corporation) vs. Deputy Minister of Labor and Ramon Pilones

#### Facts:

Ramon Pilones was originally employed by Cebu Royal Plant (San Miguel Corporation) purportedly on a probationary basis beginning on February 16, 1978. After a six-month probation period, the company conducted a medical examination on August 17, 1978, diagnosing Pilones with "pulmonary tuberculosis minimal." Based on this diagnosis, the company terminated his employment on August 21, 1978.

Pilones contended that he was already a permanent employee at the time of his dismissal and challenged the termination before the Ministry of Labor. The regional director initially dismissed Pilones' complaint, affirming the termination of his employment. Upon appeal, the Deputy Minister of Labor reversed the regional director's decision, ruling in favor of Pilones and ordering his reinstatement with back wages. San Miguel Corporation then appealed to the Supreme Court, seeking a review of the Deputy Minister's decision.

### Issues:

- 1. Was Ramon Pilones a probationary or a regular employee at the time of his dismissal?
- 2. Was the termination of Pilones' employment justified on the grounds of his medical condition as diagnosed?
- 3. Was the petitioner required to obtain clearance before terminating Pilones' employment, and if so, did the failure to do so invalidate the termination?
- 4. Was the reinstatement of Pilones, as ordered by the Deputy Minister of Labor, appropriate under the circumstances?

### Court's Decision:

- 1. \*\*Employee Status:\*\*
- The Supreme Court determined that Pilones was already a regular employee at the time of his dismissal. The Court held that according to Article 282 of the Labor Code, an employee who continues to work after the probationary period is deemed to have become a regular employee. Pilones worked beyond the six-month probation period until August 21, 1978, making him a regular employee entitled to security of tenure.

## 2. \*\*Justification for Dismissal:\*\*

- The Court ruled that Pilones' dismissal on the grounds of "pulmonary tuberculosis minimal" was not justified. The Court noted the absence of a required certification from a competent public health authority stating that Pilones' disease was incurable within six months. The medical certificate provided by San Miguel Corporation did not meet this threshold, and thus, the dismissal was deemed excessively severe and unlawful.

# 3. \*\*Requirement of Clearance:\*\*

- The petitioner failed to obtain prior clearance from the Ministry of Labor, as required by regulations in effect at the time. The clearance application was filed seven days after Pilones' dismissal, violating the rule that clearance must be obtained before terminating an employee's service. This procedural misstep further invalidated the termination.

### 4. \*\*Reinstatement:\*\*

- The Supreme Court affirmed the Deputy Minister's decision to reinstate Pilones but with a condition that Pilones should be certified by a competent public health authority as fit to return to work. The back wages were limited to three years only, rather than the full period from termination to reinstatement, as a fair compromise.

### Doctrine:

- 1. An employee who continues to work after the end of the probationary period is classified as a regular employee under Article 282 of the Labor Code.
- 2. Termination of employment on medical grounds requires certification from a competent public health authority indicating the disease's incurability within a six-month period.
- 3. Employers are required to obtain prior clearance before effectuating the termination of an employee.
- 4. Social justice mandates protection and fair treatment of workers, viewing them with tenderness and ensuring their rights against capricious or unjust employer actions.

### Class Notes:

- \*\*Key Elements:\*\*
- 1. \*\*Regular Employment Conversion:\*\* Continuing to work beyond probationary period converts status from probationary to regular.
- 2. \*\*Certification Requirement for Dismissals due to Illness:\*\* Competent public health authority must certify incurability within six months.
- 3. \*\*Prior Clearance Requirement:\*\* Clearance must be obtained before termination.
- 4. \*\*Reinstatement Conditions:\*\* Employee must be certified fit to return to work by a competent authority.
- \*\*Statutory Provisions:\*\*

- \*\*Article 282, Labor Code: \*\* Defines regular employment post-probation.
- \*\*Section 8, Rule I, Book VI, Implementing Rules of the Labor Code:\*\* Sets the standard for termination on grounds of disease.

# Historical Background:

During the period in which this case was adjudicated, Philippine labor law was heavily influenced by principles aimed at protecting workers' rights, in line with the constitutional guarantee of social justice. The legal framework was particularly stringent on procedural and substantive due process to prevent unjust dismissals, reflecting the government's commitment to safeguard employees from corporate abuse and exploitation. This case thus exemplifies judicial adherence to these regulatory and constitutional principles, reinforcing labor protections in the Filipino workforce.