\*\*Title:\*\*

Rodriguez v. Park N Ride Inc., GR No. 234925, December 05, 2017

\*\*Facts:\*\*

- 1. Lourdes C. Rodriguez was initially employed as a Restaurant Supervisor by Vicest Phils. on January 30, 1984.
- 2. When the restaurant business closed four years later, Rodriguez was transferred to office work and became an Administrative and Finance Assistant to Estelita Javier.
- 3. Over the years, Rodriguez handled personnel and administrative matters for various companies owned by the Javier Spouses without additional compensation.
- 4. She also managed household concerns for the Javier Spouses.
- 5. In 2000, Park N Ride was established, and Rodriguez continued handling administrative, finance, and warehousing departments while working long hours and being on call on Sundays and holidays.
- 6. Rodriguez's working conditions became allegedly unbearable, and she filed a resignation letter on March 25, 2009, effective April 25, 2009, but was convinced by the Javier Spouses to stay.
- 7. On September 22, 2009, after a verbal altercation with Estelita Javier, Rodriguez ceased reporting for work and formally quit.
- 8. Rodriguez filed a Complaint on October 7, 2009, for constructive dismissal and several monetary claims against the respondents.

\*\*Procedural Posture:\*\*

- 1. Labor Arbiter Macam dismissed Rodriguez's complaint but awarded her 13th month pay.
- 2. Upon appeal, the NLRC initially ruled in favor of Rodriguez but reversed its decision upon respondents' Motion for Reconsideration, reinstating the Labor Arbiter's decision.
- 3. Rodriguez's Rule 65 Petition to the Court of Appeals resulted in a partial favor: no constructive dismissal but awarded service incentive leave pay, 13th month pay, and attorney's fees with interest.
- 4. The Court of Appeals denied Rodriguez's Motion for Reconsideration.
- 5. Rodriguez then brought the case to the Supreme Court.

\*\*Issues:\*\*

1. Whether Rodriguez was constructively dismissed.

2. Whether Rodriguez was entitled to her claims for service incentive leave pay and damages.

\*\*Court's Decision:\*\*

## \*\*Issue 1: Constructive Dismissal\*\*

- The Supreme Court determined that there was no constructive dismissal in this case. Rodriguez voluntarily resigned, as evidenced by her letters of resignation which expressed gratitude and did not indicate any duress or intolerable working conditions.
- The Court found that occasional reprimands and strong words from an employer regarding delayed tasks do not constitute a hostile work environment.
- The affidavits of Rodriguez's former co-workers highlighted her extensive responsibilities but did not sufficiently demonstrate any allegations of maltreatment.

## \*\*Issue 2: Monetary Claims\*\*

- \*\*Service Incentive Leave Pay\*\*: The Court ruled that Rodriguez's service incentive leave pay entitlements encompassed her entire 25 years of employment, overruling the Court of Appeals' limitation to three years based on prescription. The Court referenced \*Auto Bus Transport System, Inc. v. Bautista\*, holding that the prescriptive period for service incentive leave pay claims begins at the termination or resignation of the employee.
- \*\*13th Month Pay\*\*: Rodriguez was awarded differential for the years 2006-2008 and proportionate pay for 2009 in accordance with her entitlements.
- \*\*Damages\*\*: Given that Rodriguez was not constructively dismissed, her claims for moral and exemplary damages were denied.

## \*\*Doctrine:\*\*

- \*\*Doctrine of Constructive Dismissal\*\*: In constructive dismissal, the test is whether a reasonable person in the employee's position would feel compelled to resign due to intolerable work conditions set by the employer.
- \*\*Service Incentive Leave Pay\*\*: STL claims do not prescribe yearly; the prescriptive period starts upon the refusal of the employer to pay upon demand or separation.
- \*\*Gratuitous Resignation Notices\*\*: The expression of appreciation in resignation letters can indicate voluntariness, absent evidence of coercion or unbearable work conditions.

\*\*Class Notes:\*\*

- \*\*Constructive Dismissal\*\*:
- Key Elements: Clear discrimination, insensibility, or disdain by employer making continued employment unbearable.
- Standard: Whether a reasonable person would feel compelled to resign.
- \*\*Service Incentive Leave Pay\*\*:
- Labor Code Article 95: Employees entitled to leave pay after one year of service.
- Prescription: The prescriptive period for claims starts upon termination/resignation if leave pay is not commuted.

## \*\*Historical Background:\*\*

The Rodriguez case is situated in the context of Philippine labor law's protection mechanisms for employees against unfair labor practices and improper termination. It reiterates the importance of the balance between employer prerogatives and employee rights, rooting for dignified work conditions while allowing room for employer authority.