### \*\*Commission on Elections v. Conrado Cruz et al., G.R. No. 186616\*\*

#### \*\*Facts:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Enactment of RA 9164\*\*: Republic Act (RA) No. 9164 provided for synchronized barangay and Sangguniang Kabataan (SK) elections and included a provision establishing a three-term limit for barangay officials, starting from the 1994 barangay elections.
- 2. \*\*October 29, 2007 Elections\*\*: Prior to these elections, incumbent barangay officials of Caloocan City filed a petition for declaratory relief at the Regional Trial Court (RTC), challenging the constitutionality of the three-term limit provision.
- 3. \*\*RTC Ruling\*\*: The RTC declared the three-term limit provision unconstitutional, ruling that it retroactively applied term limits and violated equal protection. It also said the legislative title did not give clear notice of its contents.
- 4. \*\*COMELEC Motion\*\*: COMELEC moved for reconsideration, which the RTC denied, prompting COMELEC to petition the Supreme Court.

#### \*\*Issues:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Retroactivity\*\*: Did RA 9164 retroactively apply the three-term limit for barangay officials?
- 2. \*\*Equal Protection\*\*: Did the retroactive application of the term limit provision violate the equal protection clause?
- 3. \*\*One Subject-One Title Rule\*\*: Did RA 9164 violate the constitutional rule requiring laws to cover only one subject expressed in the title?

#### \*\*Court's Decision:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Retroactivity\*\*:
- \*\*Supreme Court's Interpretation\*\*: The Court found that RA 9164 did not create a new

retroactive rule; rather, it reiterated an existing three-term limit initially imposed by RA 6653 and RA 6679, and continued under the Local Government Code (RA 7160).

- \*\*Historical Context\*\*: The three-term limit had been in place since the 1994 barangay elections and was not a new retroactive application, contradicting the RTC's interpretation.

## 2. \*\*Equal Protection\*\*:

- \*\*Constitution's Distinction\*\*: The Constitution allows different treatments for local elective officials and barangay officials. The Court noted that disparate application recognized by the Constitution means no equal protection violation occurred.
- \*\*No Unjust Discrimination\*\*: RA 9164 treated barangay officials the same as other local elective officials in terms of term limitations, confirming no discrimination or equal protection breach.

## 3. \*\*One Subject-One Title Rule\*\*:

- \*\*Sufficient Title Notification\*\*: The title of RA 9164, "An Act Providing for Synchronized Barangay and Sangguniang Kabataan Elections," was deemed comprehensive enough to include provisions on term limits.
- \*\*Legislature's Intent\*\*: The legislative history and debates indicated that the legislators and the public were aware of the inclusion of term limits in the synchronization act, satisfying the constitutional requirement.

## #### \*\*Doctrine:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Non-Retroactivity of Statutes\*\*: Laws are not retroactive unless explicitly stated. However, subsequent laws can reiterate prior provisions without creating retroactive impacts.
- 2. \*\*Equal Protection Clause\*\*: Laws can treat different classes of people or officials differently if there's a substantial distinction related to the law's objective.
- 3. \*\*One Subject-One Title Rule\*\*: The title of a law must be sufficiently comprehensive to notify its subjects but does not need to be an exhaustive index; related matters that support the main purpose may be included.

#### \*\*Class Notes:\*\*

- 1. \*\*Constitution, Article III, Section 2\*\*:
- \*\*Equal Protection\*\*: Treatment under the law must be the same for those similarly situated unless a substantial and relevant difference justifies differing treatment.
- 2. \*\*Constitution, Article VI, Section 26(1)\*\*:
- \*\*One Subject-One Title\*\*: The law's title must comprehensively reflect its contents, ensuring transparency and legislative intent.
- 3. \*\*Public Office as a Public Trust\*\*: Public office is not a vested property right; it is a trust conferred by law.

## #### \*\*Historical Background:\*\*

The barangay, as the smallest administrative division in the Philippines, has evolved significantly from pre-colonial to contemporary times. The 1987 Constitution's recognition of barangays as political units granted them a specific role within the local government structure. RA 9164's revisit of term limits was structured to ensure consistency and prevent prolonged local tenure, enhancing accountability and representative democracy.