**Title:**

Hydro Resources Contractors Corporation vs. National Irrigation Administration (484 Phil. 581)
**Facts:**

1. **August 1978:** Hydro Resources Contractors Corporation (HRCC) was awarded Contract MPI-C-2 by the National Irrigation Administration (NIA) for the Magat River MultiPurpose Project.

- Contract value: P1,489,146,473.72 (P1,041,884,766.99 in Pesos and \$60,657,992.37 in USD at an exchange rate of P7.3735 to \$1).

2. ${ }^{* *}$ November 6, 1978:** Amendment No. 1 signed: NIA increased foreign currency allocation for equipment financing to $\$ 38,000,000.00$ for the first year.
3. ${ }^{* *}$ April 9, 1980:** Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) signed, allowing HRCC direct availment of foreign currency for purchasing necessary equipment and spare parts.
4. **1980:** Supplemental MOA included items like construction materials and permanent works.
5. **1982:** HRCC substantially completed the project, and on February 14, 1984, NIA accepted it.
6. **1984:** Due to currency fluctuations, disputes arose regarding the exchange rate applied by NIA.
7. **April 1983:** HRCC claimed underpayment due to price escalations and presented the "MPI-C-2 Dollar Rate Differential on Foreign Component of Escalation," showing HRCC was entitled to an additional \$1,353,771.79/P10,898,391.17.
8. **August 12, 1983:** HRCC submitted a claim to NIA, which was rejected on January 6, 1987.
9. **December 7, 1994:** HRCC filed for arbitration with CIAC (CIAC Case No. 18-94).
10. ${ }^{* *}$ NIA's Defense:**

- Filed Answer with Counterclaim raising laches, estoppel, lack of CIAC jurisdiction.
- Sought dismissals and pursued legal recourse (CA-G.R. SP No. 37180 and G.R. No. 129169).

11. $* *$ June 10, 1997:** CIAC ruled in favor of HRCC.
12. ${ }^{* *}$ NIA Appeal:** Filed CA-G.R. SP No. 44527 with the Court of Appeals, resulting in CIAC's decision being overturned on several grounds.
**Issues:**
13. Did HRCC's claim prescribe?
14. Is HRCC's claim contrary to R.A. No. 529?
15. Should the exchange rates be computed at the fixed rate?
16. Was NIA's Certification of Non-Forum Shopping proper?
**Court's Decision:**
17. **Prescription:**

- **CA Decision:** HRCC's claim prescribed as per Section 25 of the contract (30-day period post-administrator denial for arbitration notice).
- **Supreme Court:** Disagreed, noting final denial was on January 6, 1987, and HRCC responded within 30 days. Also, the 30-day period in contracts applies to disputes during construction, not post-completion.

2. **Applicability of R.A. No. 529:**

- **CA Decision:** Applied R.A. No. 529, barring payments in foreign currency.
- **Supreme Court:**
- Exempted the contract as it involved international funding from IBRD.
- Even if applicable, R.A. No. 529 voids the provision of payment foreign currency, not the payment itself.

3. **Fixed Exchange Rate:**

- **Supreme Court:** NIA charged interest at prevailing rates during the contract and now cannot backtrack to fixed rates. Estoppel applies due to NIA's inconsistency in computation.

4. ${ }^{* *}$ Certificate of Non-Forum Shopping:**

- **Supreme Court:** Lawyer must be specifically authorized. Lack of proper authorization made NIA's certification invalid. NIA had been guilty of forum shopping due to multiple identical lawsuits.
**Doctrine:**

1. **Prescription:**

- Arbitration clauses in contracts generally apply during construction phases to prevent delays, not to post-completion disputes.

2. **R.A. No. 529 Exceptions:**

- Internationally funded contracts are exempt from local currency restrictions.

3. **Estoppel:**

- Consistent stance required. A party is bound by its acts and representations.

4. **Forum Shopping:**

- Filing multiple suits on the same issues constitutes improper conduct and potential case dismissal.

5. ${ }^{* *}$ Non-Forum Shopping Certificate:**

- Must be signed by authorized individuals; counsel must have specific authority.
**Class Notes:**
Key elements and concepts:

1. **Prescription:** Definitions and exceptions.
2. **R.A. No. 529 Application:** Contracts funded by international financial institutions are exempt.
3. **Estoppel Principles:** Actions bind parties; avoids inconsistent positions.
4. ${ }^{* *}$ Forum Shopping Definition:** Identity of parties, causes of action, and reliefs sought.
5. **Non-Forum Shopping Certification:** Role and requirements for signing authority.
**Historical Background:**

- **Magat River Multi-Purpose Project:** Major infrastructure initiative funded by IBRD.
- **Currency Fluctuations:** Issue pertinent during Marcos era with economic policy impacts.
- **CIAC’s Role:** Seen as an impartial body for construction disputes, its establishment aimed to streamline dispute resolution distinct from regular courts.

