Title: **Philippine Airlines, Inc. vs. Court of Appeals and Dr. Josefino Miranda and Luisa Miranda**

Facts:

Dr. Josefino Miranda and his wife, Luisa, went on a trip to the United States in May 1988. They secured confirmed bookings with Philippine Airlines (PAL) for their return to the Philippines and subsequent domestic flights.

Flight Details:

1. PAL PR 101 - San Francisco to Manila via Honolulu on June 21, 1988.

2. PAL PR 851 - Manila to Cebu on June 24, 1988.

3. PAL PR 905 - Cebu to Surigao on June 24, 1988.

Incidents:

1. **June 23, 1988:** Upon arrival in Manila, they were informed their baggage was offloaded in Honolulu due to weight limitations.

2. **Resulting Inconvenience:** They missed their scheduled connecting flights because they had to wait for their baggage which arrived the next day.

3. **June 25, 1988:** On boarding PAL Flight 471 from Cebu to Surigao, they had to return to Mactan Airport due to mechanical issues. A substitute flight was also canceled.

4. **Accommodation Issue:** The couple requested to be billeted at Cebu Plaza Hotel but PAL employees falsely stated it was fully booked. Eventually, PAL provided accommodation at the said hotel.

5. **Transportation Issue:** PAL offered P150 for taxi fare but refused an additional P150 requested by Dr. Miranda.

6. **Further Disruption:** Their baggage was mistakenly loaded on an earlier flight to Surigao without them.

Legal Actions:

1. **Trial Court Decision:** The Mirandas sued for damages, and the trial court ruled in their favor, awarding them moral, exemplary damages, and attorney's fees.

2. **Court of Appeals Decision:** The trial court's decision was affirmed.

Procedural Posture: PAL appealed to the Supreme Court challenging the Court of Appeals' decision asserting no bad faith on their part and the exclusive applicability of the Warsaw Convention limiting their liability.

Issues:

1. **Bad Faith and Liability for Moral and Exemplary Damages:** Whether PAL acted in bad faith justifying the award of moral and exemplary damages.

2. **Applicability of Warsaw Convention:** Whether the provisions of the Warsaw Convention, which limits PAL's liability to US\$20 per kilo of baggage, are applicable in the instant case.

Court's Decision:

1. Bad Faith and Liability for Moral and Exemplary Damages:

- **Supreme Court's Analysis:**

- The trial and appellate courts found that PAL's off-loading of the baggage at Honolulu was in bad faith and unjustified.

- The actions of the PAL employees, particularly the misinformation about hotel accommodations and mishandling the baggage, indicated negligence and bad faith.

- **Ruling:** The Court reaffirmed that bad faith by the carrier justified moral and exemplary damages. The discomfort and humiliation suffered by the Mirandas due to PAL's conduct warranted the damages awarded.

2. Applicability of the Warsaw Convention:

- **Supreme Court's Analysis:**

- The Convention limits liability for loss or delay of baggage but does not preclude liability for other contractual breaches or misconduct by the carrier.

- The off-loading due to discriminatory preference and mishandling of services constituted a breach of contract and bad faith not regulated by the Warsaw Convention.

- **Ruling:** The Civil Code provisions on moral and exemplary damages apply over the merely procedural limitations of the Warsaw Convention.

Final Decision: The Supreme Court affirmed the decision of the Court of Appeals in full.

Doctrine:

 Bad Faith in Contracts of Carriage: A contract of carriage implies a public duty and any blatant discourtesy or unjustified conduct by a carrier constitutes bad faith, justifying moral and exemplary damages. (Based on Civil Code Articles on obligations and contracts)
Applicability of the Warsaw Convention: The Warsaw Convention does not supersede the Civil Code in terms of liability arising from bad faith or willful misconduct.

Class Notes:

1. **Bad Faith Definition:** Includes breach of a known duty driven by unethical motives.

2. **Moral and Exemplary Damages:** Awarded when there is proof of bad faith or willful misconduct by a common carrier.

3. **Warsaw Convention vs. Civil Code:** While the Warsaw Convention limits baggage liability, the Civil Code provisions on bad faith override these limits, allowing for moral and exemplary damages.

- **Civil Code Article 2208:** Attorney's fees may be awarded when a party acts in bad faith.

- **Civil Code Article 2220:** Moral damages may be awarded for willful breach of a contract.

- **Civil Code Article 2232:** Exemplary damages may be granted in cases where the party acted in a wanton manner.

Historical Background:

The case reflects a time when the Philippine judiciary robustly asserted local civil law principles over international conventions, in protecting the rights of consumers against inequitable practices of large corporations, such as airlines. The decision illustrates the progressive and consumer-centric stance of Philippine courts in ensuring just compensation for aggrieved parties suffering from bad faith and negligent acts of carriers.