

### Title:

City Government of Taguig vs. Shoppers Paradise Realty & Development Corp., and Shoppers Paradise FTI Corporation

### Facts:

The facts revolve around the financial difficulties encountered by Shoppers Paradise Realty & Development Corporation (SPRDC) and its affiliate, Shoppers Paradise FTI Corporation (SPFC), which led them to file a joint Petition for Rehabilitation in 2005 due to the impacts of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis. As part of their rehabilitation plan, an agreement was made with the City Government of Taguig (CGT) to offset SPFC's unpaid realty taxes through rentals from leased premises at the Sunshine Plaza Mall, owned by SPFC. Despite various agreements and modifications aiming to facilitate this offsetting scheme, disputes arose regarding the computation and application of the offsets, particularly concerning charges post-December 31, 2006. The SPFC believed all its realty tax delinquencies were offset by the accrued rentals from the arrangements with CGT, a claim contested by the latter. Following unsuccessful reconciliation attempts, SPFC filed an Urgent Motion for Collection, which the Regional Trial Court of Makati (RTC-Makati), acting as a rehabilitation court, granted, ordering CGT to pay over PHP 10 million. This decision was contested by CGT through a Petition for Certiorari, ultimately leading to the Supreme Court.

### Issues:

1. The authority of a rehabilitation court (RTC-Makati) to issue orders regarding the collection of payments for accrued rentals and utilities as part of the rehabilitation plan.
2. The validity and enforceability of the offsetting arrangement between CGT and SPFC under the rehabilitation plan.
3. Whether the Court of Appeals erred in affirming the RTC-Makati's decision to grant the Urgent Motion for Collection filed by SPFC.

### Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court denied the Petition for Review on Certiorari filed by CGT, affirming the decisions of both the RTC-Makati and the Court of Appeals. The Court ruled that:

- Rehabilitation courts have the authority to issue orders necessary for the rehabilitation of the debtor, including orders relating to the collection of payments for accrued rentals and utilities.
- The offsetting arrangement between CGT and SPFC, facilitated by various agreements and recognized in the Rehabilitation Plan, was valid and enforceable.
- The Urgent Motion for Collection filed by SPFC was rightly granted by the RTC-Makati,

and its decision was correctly upheld by the Court of Appeals. The claims of SPFC were based on transactions integral to the rehabilitation proceedings.

### ### Doctrine:

This case reiterates the doctrine that rehabilitation courts possess comprehensive jurisdiction over matters necessary to carry out the rehabilitation of insolvent debtors, including resolving disputes on payments that are part of the rehabilitation plan. It emphasizes the non-adversarial, summary nature of rehabilitation proceedings aimed at restoring the debtor's solvency, highlighting the courts' broad discretionary power to enforce arrangements that facilitate this goal.

### ### Class Notes:

- **Rehabilitation Proceedings**: Aim at restoring the financial viability of insolvent debtors through plans approved by the court, involving various stakeholders, including creditors.
- **Authority of Rehabilitation Courts**: Such courts are empowered to issue orders that align with the objective of rehabilitation, even if these involve resolving disputes between the debtor and its creditors or parties to rehabilitation arrangements.
- **Offsetting Arrangements**: Agreements to offset debts (e.g., unpaid taxes) with payments due under another account (e.g., rentals) are valid, provided they are part of an approved rehabilitation plan.
- **Jurisdiction over Rehabilitation Matters**: The jurisdiction of rehabilitation courts extends to all matters that are necessary for the execution of the rehabilitation plan, including incidental disputes arising from the agreement terms under the plan.
- **Legal Standards for Rehabilitation**: The rehabilitation process is governed by Republic Act No. 10142 (Financial Rehabilitation and Insolvency Act of 2010) and the Financial Rehabilitation Rules of Procedure (A.M. No. 12-12-11-SC).

### ### Historical Background:

The case unfolded against the backdrop of the 1997 Asian Financial Crisis which significantly affected businesses in the Philippines, leading to increased reliance on corporate rehabilitation as a means to salvage financially distressed companies. The legal frameworks governing rehabilitation proceedings, developed through legislation and jurisprudence over time, aim at facilitating the recovery of businesses while balancing the interests of creditors, stakeholders, and the broader economy.