### ### Title:

Rimbunan Hijau Group of Companies and Niugini Lumber Merchants Pty., Ltd. vs. Oriental Wood Processing Corporation

### ### Facts:

This legal battle originated from a complaint for a sum of money initiated by Rimbunan Hijau Group of Companies ("Rimbunan") and Niugini Lumber Merchants Pty., Ltd. ("Niugini") against Oriental Wood Processing Corporation ("respondent") at the Regional Trial Court (RTC) of Malolos, Bulacan. Petitioners, companies based in Papua New Guinea, sought to recover an alleged remaining balance from the sale of PNG logs to the respondent, a Philippine-based company. Despite transactions and partial payments, a balance remained unpaid, leading to repeated demands and eventually a lawsuit.

The respondent filed a Motion to Dismiss based on the grounds that petitioners were unlicensed foreign corporations and thus had no legal capacity to sue within the Philippines. This move underlined the case's central issue of whether unlicensed foreign corporations could engage the Philippine courts for redress. The RTC denied the motion, prompting an appeal to the Court of Appeals (CA) via certiorari. The CA originally dismissed but then reinstated the appeal, eventually ruling that the petitioners could not sue in Philippine courts due to their unlicensed status. This decision led to the petitioners' appeal to the Supreme Court.

# ### Issues:

- 1. Whether the CA erred in deciding a question of fact in a petition for certiorari.
- 2. Whether the petitioners possess legal capacity to sue despite being unlicensed foreign corporations.
- 3. Whether petitioners were doing business in the Philippines or merely engaged in an isolated transaction.
- 4. Whether the doctrine of estoppel applies, preventing the respondent from challenging petitioners' capacity to sue.

### ### Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court granted the petition, reversing the CA's decision and reinstating the RTC's resolution. It addressed the issues as follows:

- \*\*CA's Decision on Factual Issues:\*\* The Court criticized the CA for prematurely deciding on factual matters that should have been determined during a full trial, particularly the extent and nature of the transactions between the parties.

- \*\*Legal Capacity to Sue:\*\* The Court reiterated that an unlicensed foreign corporation could still sue in the Philippines if the case stemmed from an isolated transaction, which should be factually established.
- \*\*Doing Business in the Philippines:\*\* The Supreme Court found insufficient evidence to categorically prove that petitioners were doing business in the Philippines, thus necessitating a remand for trial.
- \*\*Doctrine of Estoppel:\*\* Applying the doctrine of estoppel, the Court concluded that the respondent, having entered into contracts and benefited from dealings with the petitioners, was barred from challenging their capacity to sue.

#### ### Doctrine:

An unlicensed foreign corporation has access to Philippine courts if it sues on an isolated transaction. The doctrine of estoppel prevents a party from denying another party's legal capacity to sue if they have previously acknowledged such capacity by entering into a business transaction with them.

## ### Class Notes:

- \*\*Unlicensed Foreign Corporations' Right to Sue:\*\* An unlicensed foreign corporation can sue in Philippine courts if involved in an isolated transaction.
- \*\*Doctrine of Estoppel:\*\* Parties are estopped from challenging the legal capacity of a corporation with which they have entered into business transactions and from which they have benefited.
- \*\*Preponderance of Evidence:\*\* The requirement for establishing material facts in motions to dismiss based on the claim that a party is doing business in the Philippines without a license.

# ### Historical Background:

The decision underscores the judiciary's maneuvering between adhering to statutory requirements for foreign corporations doing business in the Philippines and upholding principles of equity and fairness. It reflects the balance between encouraging foreign investment and ensuring accountability, evidencing the evolving interpretation of what constitutes "doing business" in the context of a globally integrated economy.