Title: Islamic Da'wah Council of the Philippines, Inc. vs. Office of the Executive Secretary and Office on Muslim Affairs

#### Facts:

The Islamic Da'wah Council of the Philippines, Inc. (IDCP), a non-governmental organization offering voluntary services to Muslims in the Philippines and certified to issue Halal certifications by international Muslim bodies, operated since 1995 under its formulated rules based on the Qur'an and the Sunnah. It registered a distinct logo for its Halal certificates in the Philippine Patent Office. However, on October 26, 2001, the Office of the Executive Secretary issued Executive Order (EO) 46, creating the Philippine Halal Certification Scheme and vesting exclusive authority to issue Halal certificates in the Office on Muslim Affairs (OMA). Following the publication of a news article on May 8, 2002, warning against non-OMA certifications and letters sent by OMA to food manufacturers, IDCP experienced a decline in revenue as manufacturers began to secure certifications exclusively from OMA. Arguing that EO 46 violated the constitutional separation of Church and State, impaired contracts, and infringed upon the rights of people's organizations, IDCP filed a petition for prohibition, seeking to declare EO 46 null and void.

## Issues:

- 1. Whether Executive Order 46 violates the constitutional provision on the separation of Church and State by allowing a government agency to perform religious functions.
- 2. Whether EO 46 impairs the obligation of contracts.
- 3. Whether EO 46 infringes upon the rights and role of people's organizations.
- 4. Whether the issuance of Halal certifications exclusively by a government agency violates religious freedom.

### Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court declared EO 46 null and void, granting the petition. The Court determined:

- 1. Assigning OMA the exclusive power to classify and certify food products as Halal encroached upon the religious freedom of Muslim organizations, as the standards for Halal classification are derived from Islamic beliefs. Such state action forced Muslims to accept a governmental interpretation of religious texts, thus violating the separation of Church and State and infringing on religious freedom.
- 2. The issue of impairment of contracts and the rights of people's organizations was addressed indirectly through the broader lens of religious freedom and unconstitutional state interference in religious affairs.

### Doctrine:

The Court reiterated the principle of separation of Church and State, emphasizing the constitutional protection afforded to religious freedom. It held that the government cannot intrude into purely religious matters without a compelling justification and that the issuance of Halal certifications falls within the realm of religious activities that should not be regulated by the state.

# Class Notes:

- Key elements in this case include the interpretation of the separation of Church and State, religious freedom, the impairment of contract obligations, and the role and rights of people's organizations.
- Relevant legal statutes include Sections 5 and 6 of Article III of the 1987 Philippine Constitution, governing the prohibition of laws impairing the obligation of contracts and the separation of Church and State, respectively.
- In essence, the government's role is to ensure public safety and health without encroaching on religious practices and freedoms. The protection and promotion of the right to health of Filipino Muslims can be adequately addressed through existing laws without infringing on religious freedom.

# Historical Background:

The context of this case illustrates the delicate balance the Philippine government seeks to maintain between upholding public health and safety standards and respecting religious freedoms within a diverse and pluralistic society. The introduction of EO 46 represented an attempt to standardize and regulate Halal certification processes but inadvertently trespassed into areas of religious jurisdiction, highlighting the constitutional safeguard against state interference in religious matters.