Brief / Digest)

### Title

People of the Philippines vs. Tirso Sace y Montoya

#### ### Facts

On September 9, 1999, in Barangay Tabionan, Gasan, Marinduque, AAA was inside her house with her brother, BBB, and a nephew when Tirso Sace, intoxicated from a day of drinking, forcefully entered. Despite AAA's protests, Sace made sexual advances and subsequently chased her with a bladed weapon when she resisted. AAA's screams were heard by her arriving family, who discovered her half-naked and lifeless body nearby post-search, with Sace emerging from a hiding spot, bloodied but initially denying involvement. However, multiple witnesses, including barangay officials, later testified that Sace admitted to the rape and murder of AAA at the scene.

Sace pled not guilty at arraignment. The prosecution's case included testimonies from the victim's family members, forensic findings of sexual assault and fatal injuries on AAA, and Sace's confessions to barangay officials and neighbors. In contrast, Sace's defense rested on denial and an alibi, claiming he found AAA's body after the crime and failed to report or assist her, later being wrongfully implicated.

The Regional Trial Court found Sace guilty, a decision later affirmed by the Court of Appeals, albeit adjusting his death sentence to reclusion perpetua in accordance with RA 9346 abolishing capital punishment. Sace's appeal to the Supreme Court underscored his challenge on the sufficiency of circumstantial evidence establishing his guilt.

### ### Issues

- 1. Whether the circumstantial evidence presented was sufficient to convict Sace beyond reasonable doubt of rape with homicide.
- 2. Whether Sace's confession to barangay officials, considered part of the res gestae, was admissible and indicative of guilt.
- 3. The appropriateness of damages awarded for the crime.

# ### Court's Decision

The Supreme Court upheld the lower courts' decisions, dismissing Sace's appeal. The Court found the circumstantial evidence—Sace's presence at the crime scene, the threat and chase, his blood-stained clothing, and subsequent confessions—together sufficiently indicative of guilt beyond reasonable doubt. It was emphasized that while direct evidence is ideal, circumstantial evidence is equally potent, especially in crimes committed under

conditions likely to evade direct observation.

Sace's confession, made spontaneously to non-law enforcement witnesses under the influence of the event, was deemed admissible as part of res gestae. The Court also adjusted the damages awarded in line with current jurisprudence, affirming moral damages and introducing temperate damages for the funeral expenses incurred by AAA's heirs.

#### ### Doctrine

- Circumstantial evidence can be the basis of conviction if it meets the criteria of coherence and sufficiency to prove guilt beyond reasonable doubt.
- Confessions made spontaneously in the immediate aftermath of a crime, to individuals other than law enforcement, can be admitted as part of the res gestae.
- In cases where actual damages are not precisely determinable, courts may award temperate damages to justly compensate for the losses sustained.

#### ### Class Notes

- \*\*Circumstantial Evidence\*\*: Legally sufficient to convict when it forms a coherent and consistent chain pointing to the guilt of the accused beyond reasonable doubt.
- \*\*Res Gestae\*\*: A legal doctrine allowing certain statements made under the stress of a startling event to be admitted as evidence even if they are hearsay.
- \*\*Damages in Criminal Cases\*\*: Moral damages are awarded for the mental anguish suffered by the victim's heirs, while temperate damages may be granted when the precise quantification of actual damages is challenging.

## ### Historical Background

This case underscores the evolving landscape of criminal justice in the Philippines, particularly regarding the treatment of confessions and the utilization of evidence in proving sexual violence and homicide. It reflects the stringent standards courts adhere to in ensuring that convictions are based on a firm ground of certainty, even in the absence of direct observation, and the careful consideration given to the rights of both victims and the accused in the process.