## ### Title:

People of the Philippines vs. XXX: A Case of Qualified Statutory Rape

#### ### Facts:

The accused-appellant, XXX, was charged with qualified statutory rape under Article 266-A (1)(d) in relation to Article 266-B of the Revised Penal Code (RPC), as amended. The case stemmed from an incident that occurred between January 2 and January 3, 2013, in Brgy. Cayanga, San Fabian, Pangasinan, where XXX was accused of sexually assaulting a minor, identified as AAA, who was 5 years old at the time and the daughter of his live-in partner.

During the trial, the prosecution presented the victim, her mother, and a police officer as witnesses. AAA, despite her young age, testified about the assault and identified XXX as the perpetrator. Her mother shared details of discovering the assault and their subsequent action of reporting the incident to the police. A medical examination confirmed evidence of sexual abuse.

XXX denied the allegations, claiming attempted extortion by the victim's mother due to an anticipated financial settlement from a labor case. The Regional Trial Court (RTC) of Dagupan City found XXX guilty, sentencing him to reclusion perpetua and ordering him to pay damages. The Court of Appeals upheld the RTC's decision with modifications on the monetary awards.

XXX's appeal to the Supreme Court focused on questioning the credibility of the victim's testimony and the alleged inconsistencies therein.

#### ### Issues:

- 1. Credibility of the victim's testimony.
- 2. Verification of carnal knowledge as an element of statutory rape.

## ### Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court dismissed the appeal, affirming the decision of the Court of Appeals in totality. The Court emphasized the established principle regarding the deference to the trial court's assessment of witness credibility. It highlighted the jurisprudence supporting the credibility of testimonies from victims of tender age, noting that a child would not likely fabricate allegations of such grave nature.

On the issue of carnal knowledge, the Court found no merit in the accused's argument, noting AAA's explicit and straightforward testimony about the assault, supported by medical

evidence, was sufficient to substantiate the claim of sexual intercourse.

The Supreme Court reiterated that inconsistencies in minor details and the lack of immediate physical pain do not undermine the overall credibility of the victim's testimony or the occurrence of rape.

#### ### Doctrine:

- In cases of statutory rape, the victim's age and the act of sexual intercourse must be proven beyond reasonable doubt. The credibility of a minor's testimony is upheld, especially when corroborated by medical findings.
- Carnal knowledge, not the victim's immediate physical sensation, is crucial in establishing the act of rape.

## ### Class Notes:

- Elements of Statutory Rape: 1) the offended party is under 12 years of age; 2) the accused had carnal knowledge of the victim.
- Qualified Rape Factors: Age of the victim and relationship to the offender.
- Importance of witness credibility, especially of minor victims, in sexual assault cases.
- Legal provisions: Article 266-A (1)(d) related to Article 266-B of the RPC, as amended.
- Doctrine on assessing witness credibility lies primarily with the trial court.
- Psychological and physical evidence corroborating the victim's testimony strengthens the case.

# ### Historical Background:

The case underlines the Philippine legal system's handling of serious crimes such as qualified statutory rape, emphasizing the protection of minors and the critical examination of witness testimony and evidence. The legislative amendments to the RPC, particularly the introduction of provisions related to rape and its qualifications, underscore the evolving legal standards aimed at addressing and penalizing sexual violations against minors with the gravest penalties.