Title: **People of the Philippines vs. Hon. Simeon N. Ferrer, Feliciano Co, and Nilo S. Tayag**

Facts:

The case centers on the constitutionality of the Anti-Subversion Act, which criminalizes membership in the Communist Party of the Philippines (CPP) or any subversive organization. Feliciano Co was charged in Tarlac for being a CPP officer and Nilo Tayag, alongside others, for being leaders of Kabataang Makabayan, a subversive organization. They moved to quash on grounds including the Act being a bill of attainder, vagueness, and the denial of equal protection. The trial court declared the statute void for being a bill of attainder and overbroad, leading the government to appeal, treated by the Supreme Court as certiorari.

Issues:

- 1. Whether the Anti-Subversion Act constitutes a bill of attainder.
- 2. Whether the Act violates due process through vagueness and overbreadth.
- 3. Whether the Act infringes on the protections against ex post facto laws.
- 4. Whether the Act unduly infringes on freedom of association.

Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the Anti-Subversion Act, refuting the trial court's declaration. It ruled that the Act does not constitute a bill of attainder as it applies to conduct and not just membership in an organization. It did not see the Act as vague or overbroad, specifying that membership must be knowing and active, with intent to further the party's illegal goals. The Court argued that the legislative findings sufficed to justify the Act's restrictions on associations, framing them as a necessary mechanism to protect national security.

Doctrine:

The Court reiterated the doctrine that laws punishing an individual without a judicial trial (bills of attainder) are unconstitutional but clarified that legislation proscribing membership in subversive organizations, given certain active and knowing participation, does not automatically equate to a bill of attainder.

Class Notes:

- Bills of Attainder: Legislative acts that impose punishment without a judicial trial, proscribed under the Constitution.

- Due Process and Vagueness: A statute must clearly define prohibited conduct to provide adequate warning of its scope.
- Ex Post Facto Laws: Laws that retroactively punish actions or increase penalties for acts committed before the law's enactment are prohibited.
- Freedom of Association: The right to freely associate is fundamental but can be regulated when the association poses a clear, present, and grave danger to national security, provided the regulation is neither vague nor overbroad.

Historical Background:

The Anti-Subversion Act was emblematic of the Philippine government's response to the perceived threat of communism during the Cold War era. It criminalized membership in the Communist Party of the Philippines and other related organizations, reflecting an aggressive stance against communist insurgency. The challenge to its constitutionality brought to fore the tension between national security and individual freedoms, a common theme during periods of political instability worldwide.