### ### Title:

Filinvest Credit Corporation vs. Philippine Acetylene Co., Inc.: A Review of Obligations under Chattel Mortgage and Dacion En Pago

### ### Facts:

The case began when Philippine Acetylene Co., Inc. (defendant-appellant) bought a motor vehicle from Alexander Lim and secured the balance through a promissory note, underpinned by a chattel mortgage for the vehicle. Alexander Lim assigned his rights to Filinvest Finance Corporation, which later merged into Filinvest Credit Corporation (plaintiff-appellee). The defendant-appellant defaulted on payments, leading to a demand from the plaintiff-appellee to either settle the balance or surrender the vehicle. The vehicle was surrendered to the plaintiff-appellee, who later refused to sell it due to unpaid taxes on the vehicle. Plaintiff-appellee demanded payment for the balance and interest, leading to their filing of a sum of money with damages in the Court of First Instance of Manila. The defendant-appellant argued that their obligation was extinguished upon the vehicle's return and that they were justified in refusing payment due to the breach of warranty by Alexander Lim concerning unpaid taxes on the vehicle. The Court of First Instance of Manila ruled in favor of Filinvest Credit Corporation, leading to an appeal to the Supreme Court on purely legal questions.

## ### Issues:

- 1. Whether the surrender of the mortgaged motor vehicle constituted a dacion en pago, extinguishing the appellant's obligation.
- 2. Whether the appellee had the right to demand payment despite the surrender of the property and the unpaid taxes issue.

# ### Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court affirmed the decision of the lower court, holding that:

- 1. The surrender of the vehicle did not amount to a dacion en pago because there was no clear consent from the appellee to accept the vehicle as a full settlement of the obligation. Dacion en pago requires common consent and must fulfill the elements of a contract of sale, which was not evident in this case.
- 2. The appellant remained responsible for the unpaid balance and interest. The burden of unpaid taxes fell on the appellant, who retained ownership of the vehicle. The warranty issues regarding unpaid taxes were not transferable to the appellee through the assignment of the credit, as the agreement explicitly held Alexander Lim accountable for such warranties.

# ### Doctrine:

The mere return of a mortgaged property to the creditor does not constitute dacion en pago in the absence of an express or implied agreement to that effect. For a transaction to be considered a dacion en pago, there must be a clear intention from both parties to treat the return of the property as an equivalent to the payment of the debt, fulfilling the elements of a contract of sale.

# ### Class Notes:

- \*\*Dacion en Pago\*\*: Requires consent, object certain, and cause or consideration. It is a mode of extinguishing an obligation where property is given and accepted as equivalent to the payment of a debt.
- \*\*Chattel Mortgage\*\*: Security for the payment of a promissory note can involve the transfer of possession but not necessarily ownership unless expressly agreed by parties.
- \*\*Doctrine of Election\*\* under Article 1484 of the Civil Code: Creditor's choice among remedies is definitive and precludes other options, but must be clearly manifested.
- \*\*Responsibility for Unpaid Taxes\*\*: Falls on the property owner unless contractually assumed by another party.

# ### Historical Background:

This case illustrates the principles surrounding chattel mortgages, obligations, and remedial actions creditors can take in cases of default. It underscores the legal nuances in interpreting agreements related to secured transactions, including the importance of express consent in transactions considered as dacion en pago, and clarifies the obligations of parties in transactions involving chattel mortgages and promissory notes.