### ### Title:

De Guzman et. al. vs. Commission on Elections on the Validity of Section 44 of Republic Act No. 8189 (The Voter's Registration Act of 1996)

#### ### Facts:

Republic Act No. 8189, known as "The Voter's Registration Act of 1996," was approved on June 11, 1996, by President Fidel V. Ramos. Section 44 of the said act mandates that no Election Officer shall serve in a particular city or municipality for more than four (4) years and must be reassigned outside the original congressional district if they have served the designated period.

Following the enactment of RA 8189, the Commission on Elections (COMELEC) issued Resolution Nos. 97-0002 and 97-0610 to implement the provision. As a consequence, numerous COMELEC election officers, who had served in their respective assignments for at least four years, were reassigned to different locations, as directed in subsequent COMELEC resolutions and directives.

Feeling aggrieved by these reassignments, the affected election officers filed a petition with the Supreme Court contesting the validity of Section 44 of RA 8189 on grounds that included violation of the equal protection clause, the security of tenure for civil servants, due process, undermining the constitutional independence of the COMELEC, contravention of the legislative requirement for a bill to have a single subject expressed in its title, and procedural legislative requirements of the Constitution regarding readings on separate days.

#### ### Issues:

- 1. Whether Section 44 of RA 8189 violates the equal protection clause enshrined in the Constitution.
- 2. Whether Section 44 infringes upon the constitutional guarantee of security of tenure for civil servants.
- 3. Whether Section 44 constitutes a deprivation of property without due process of law.
- 4. Whether Section 44 undermines the constitutional independence of the COMELEC and its authority over its officials and employees.
- 5. Whether Section 44 contravenes the constitutional precept that every bill passed by Congress shall embrace only one subject which must be expressed in the title thereof.
- 6. Whether Section 44 is void for failure to comply with the constitutional requirement of three readings on separate days and distribution of printed copies in its final form three

days before its passage.

### ### Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court dismissed the petition, upholding the constitutionality and validity of Section 44 of RA 8189. The Court found that Section 44 does not violate the equal protection clause as it rested on substantial distinctions and was germane to the purpose of the law, which is to ensure the impartiality of election officers by preventing familiarity with the locals. It did not infringe upon the security of tenure since reassignment under a specific statute does not constitute capricious dismissal. The Court also dismissed concerns over due process, legislative requirements for bill passage, undermining COMELEC's autonomy, and the one subject-one title rule, stating that Section 44 is sufficiently related to the objective of RA 8189, which is to ensure the integrity of the electoral process.

#### ### Doctrine:

The decision reiterates that a law enjoys the presumption of validity and that the equal protection clause permits a valid classification that is substantial, germane, not limited to existing conditions only, and applies equally to all members of the same class. It also highlighted that the guarantee of security of tenure does not preclude reassignment under law aimed at improving service delivery or integrity of the electoral process.

## ### Class Notes:

- 1. \*\*Equal Protection Clause\*\*: A classification must rest on substantial distinctions, be germane to the purpose of the law, not be limited to existing conditions only, and apply equally to all members of the same class.
- 2. \*\*Security of Tenure\*\*: Does not guarantee perpetual employment and can be subjected to reassignments under specific statutes aimed at improving service or integrity, without constituting capricious dismissal.
- 3. \*\*Legislative Process and Constitutional Compliance\*\*: A law does not need to detail all contents in its title so long as the contents are related and germane to the subject expressed. Compliance with procedural requirements in the legislative process is presumed unless proven otherwise.

# ### Historical Background:

The Voter's Registration Act of 1996 aimed to update and refine the electoral registration process in the Philippines, introducing a system for continuing registration and provisions intended to preserve the integrity of this process, such as the reassignment of election officers as stipulated in Section 44. This case illustrates the judicial review process where

the Supreme Court ensures that legislation complies with constitutional mandates while balancing the need for administrative flexibility in electoral management.