Title: The Wellex Group, Inc. vs. U-Land Airlines, Co., Ltd.: Rescission of a Memorandum of Agreement in Airline Operations Expansion

Facts:

The case originated from a business transaction between The Wellex Group, Inc. (Wellex), a Philippine corporation engaged in airline operations, and U-Land Airlines, Co. Ltd. (U-Land), a Taiwanese airline company. Their aim was to combine resources for expanding their airline operations in Asia. On May 16, 1998, both parties entered into a Memorandum of Agreement (First Memorandum of Agreement) which outlined the basis for their cooperation, including U-Land's acquisition of shares from Wellex in Air Philippines International Corporation (APIC) and Philippine Estates Corporation (PEC), and the entry into a Joint Development Agreement for property development projects in the Philippines.

Despite these agreements, the two parties failed to execute a Share Purchase Agreement within the designated 40-day period stipulated in the Memorandum of Agreement. This failure to agree on the terms resulted in the lapse of the agreement's efficacy, yet U-Land remitted a total of US\$7,499,945.00 to Wellex in partial payments for APIC and PEC shares. Wellex, in turn, delivered stock certificates and land titles to U-Land as security. Subsequently, negotiations halted, and U-Land demanded the return of its remittances, leading to legal action.

Issues:

- 1. Whether the Court of Appeals erred in affirming the Regional Trial Court's decision to grant the rescission of the Memorandum of Agreement.
- 2. Whether the delivery of the stock certificates and land titles by Wellex constituted an obligation for U-Land to exhaust these "securities" before seeking rescission.
- 3. Whether U-Land was obliged to pay US\$3 million under the joint development agreement.
- 4. Whether Wellex committed fraud by inducing U-Land to enter into the Memorandum of Agreement.

Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court denied the Petition, affirming the decisions of the lower courts that granted U-Land's prayer for rescission of the First Memorandum of Agreement. It ruled that upon the lapse of the 40-day period without the execution of a Share Purchase Agreement, both parties were released from their obligations, as stipulated in the First Memorandum of Agreement. Thus, U-Land was entitled to the return of its remittances, and Wellex was obliged to return the securities provided by U-Land. Furthermore, the Court found no

fraudulent misrepresentation by Wellex that induced U-Land into the agreement, as the facts of the case did not constitute fraud but a failure to fulfill reciprocal obligations. The Court also clarified that the case invoked rescission or resolution under Article 1191 of the Civil Code, not rescission under Article 1381.

Doctrine:

This case establishes that a failyre to execute a substantial contract within a stipulated period, as agreed upon in a Memorandum of Agreement, can lead to rescission or resolution of the agreement under Article 1191 of the Civil Code, necessitating mutual restitution between the parties. This also reinforces that allegations of fraud require clear and convincing evidence and that such allegations must be distinguished from the failure to perform reciprocal obligations.

Class Notes:

- A Memorandum of Agreement detailing cooperation for business expansion, including share acquisition and joint development projects, establishes reciprocal obligations between the parties.
- Failure to execute essential subsequent agreements within a stipulated period as agreed releases parties from their obligations and may result in rescission or resolution under Article 1191, necessitating restitution.
- Allegations of fraud in contractual agreements require clear and convincing evidence.
- Actions for rescission or resolution under Article 1191 of the Civil Code are based on the breach of reciprocal obligations and are not predicated on fraud.

Historical Background:

This case illustrates a significant legal principle in the context of failed business collaborations involving the expansion of airline operations between companies from different countries. It highlights the complexities and legal implications of international business agreements and the significance of clearly stipulated conditions within Memorandums of Agreement for the protection of the interests of both parties involved.