\*\*Title:\*\* Felisa R. Ferrer v. Domingo Carganillo, et al.: A Comprehensive Analysis of Agrarian Leasehold Relation Termination and Subleasing's Legal Implications in the Philippines

### \*\*Facts:\*\*

The case concerns four individual complaints filed by Felisa R. Ferrer against various respondents, rooted in issues of alleged subleasing and non-payment of lease rentals concerning agricultural landholdings. These complaints, initiated at the Provincial Agrarian Reform Adjudicator (PARAD) level, underwent appeals to the Department of Agrarian Reform Adjudication Board (DARAB) and eventually, the Court of Appeals (CA), before reaching the Philippine Supreme Court.

The detailed procedural journey for each complaint reveals a series of legal arguments, evidential presentations, and administrative findings leading up to the Supreme Court's involvement. The complexities of the cases reflect not only the specific disputes between parties but also the interpretation and application of agrarian laws in the Philippines, particularly regarding tenants' rights, landowners' prerogatives, and the evidential burdens required to substantiate claims of leasehold violations.

### \*\*Issues:\*\*

- 1. Whether the evidence presented was sufficient to prove allegations of subleasing without the landowner's consent.
- 2. The applicability of the Rules of Court in the evidentiary proceedings of quasi-judicial bodies like DARAB.
- 3. The determination of appropriate remedies for established agrarian leasehold violations.
- 4. The impact of procedural lapses on the integrity of agrarian case appeals.

#### \*\*Court's Decision:\*\*

The Supreme Court rendered distinct decisions on each complaint based on the evidence and legal considerations presented:

- \*\*DARAB Case No. 7862 (Carganillo Subleasing)\*\*: The Court found merit in Ferrer's petition, significantly due to the erroneously disregarded 'Katulagan' agreement, which was substantial in proving subleasing. Consequently, it authorized the dispossession of the Carganillos from the landholding.
- \*\*DARAB Case No. 7863 (Soledad Agustin Complaint)\*\*: The Court dismissed Ferrer's complaint against Soledad Agustin due to insufficient evidence to establish a sublease

# arrangement.

- \*\*DARAB Case No. 7864 and No. 7865 (Marcelina Solis Complaints)\*\*: Both complaints against Marcelina Solis were dismissed for lack of substantial evidence and, in one instance, procedural inaccuracies in indicating the appealing party.

### \*\*Doctrine:\*\*

The Court reiterated the principles underpinning agrarian reform laws, emphasizing the prohibition of subleasing without landowner consent and highlighting the standards of evidence required in agrarian disputes. It also stressed the liberal application of procedural rules in agrarian cases to ensure justice and equity.

## \*\*Class Notes:\*\*

- \*\*Essential Elements of Agrarian Leasehold Relations:\*\* The establishment of leasehold relations, the rights and obligations of the tenants and landowners, and the prohibited acts such as subleasing without consent.
- \*\*Substantial Evidence in Agrarian Cases:\*\* Evidence that is reasonable enough to justify a conclusion; the Rules of Court's stringent evidence protocols do not strictly apply, as agrarian proceedings favor a more liberal approach to ascertain facts.
- \*\*Procedural Requirements for Appeals:\*\* Correct identification of appealing parties and the consequences of procedural lapses, demonstrating the balance between procedural adherence and substantive justice in agrarian reform adjudications.

# \*\*Historical Background:\*\*

This case intricately exhibits the evolving understanding and implementation of agrarian reform principles in the Philippines, reflecting on the balance between protecting tenant rights and ensuring landowners' interests are respected. It underscores the legal system's attempts to equitably distribute agricultural benefits while adhering to due process and evidentiary standards tailored to the agrarian reform's unique context.