### Title: People of the Philippines vs. Julian Castillo y Lumayro

#### ### Facts:

This case involved Julian Castillo y Lumayro, charged with Murder and Illegal Possession of Firearms in two separate Informations after a fatal shooting incident on November 14, 1995, at a construction site in Iloilo City, Philippines. Castillo, a lead man at the site, pursued and shot co-worker Rogelio Abawag with a .38 caliber revolver, causing Abawag's death. The incident was reported, and police, with the aid of a witness, apprehended Castillo on a vessel bound for Cebu. The accused was found in possession of an unlicensed .38 caliber revolver. The trial court convicted Castillo for Homicide, downgrading from Murder due to the failure to prove qualifying circumstances, and Illegal Possession of Firearm aggravated by homicide, sentencing him to death for the latter. The automatic review by the Supreme Court necessitated a reevaluation of both convictions.

### ### Procedural Posture:

The procedural journey began with the filing of separate Informations for Murder and Illegal Possession of Firearms. Upon conviction by the trial court and due to the imposition of the death penalty, the case was automatically elevated to the Supreme Court for review, focusing on the legality of Castillo's possession of the firearm and the appropriate penalties under the amended law, Republic Act No. 8294.

### ### Issues:

- 1. Whether the trial court erred in convicting Julian Castillo y Lumayro for two separate offenses (Homicide and Illegal Possession of Firearms) and in imposing separate penalties for each.
- 2. Whether the prosecution sufficiently proved the illegal possession of the firearm, given the accused's lack of a license.
- 3. The applicability of Republic Act No. 8294, which considers the use of an unlicensed firearm in homicide or murder as a special aggravating circumstance rather than a separate offense.

## ### Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court modified the trial court's decision, finding Julian Castillo y Lumayro guilty only of Homicide, aggravated by the illegal possession of a firearm. The Court ruled

that under Republic Act No. 8294, the use of an unlicensed firearm in committing homicide should not be treated as a separate offense but as a special aggravating circumstance. Accordingly, Castillo could not be convicted and punished separately for Homicide and Illegal Possession of Firearms. Moreover, the Court recognized the prosecution's failure to establish beyond reasonable doubt that Castillo lacked a license for the firearm, an essential element of illegal possession. The Supreme Court reduced the penalty to reclusion temporal, in line with the aggravating circumstance of using an unlicensed firearm in the commission of homicide.

### ### Doctrine:

The legal doctrine established in this case highlights that under Republic Act No. 8294, the use of an unlicensed firearm in committing homicide or murder shall not constitute a separate crime but will be considered a special aggravating circumstance, thereby influencing the naming and penalizing of the offense accordingly.

### ### Class Notes:

- \*\*Illegal Possession of Firearms\*\*: To convict, two elements must be proven: (1) the accused possessed a firearm, and (2) the accused lacked the necessary license or permit for possession. (People vs. Molina, 292 SCRA 742, 779-783 (1998))
- \*\*Special Aggravating Circumstance\*\*: Under RA 8294, using an unlicensed firearm in homicide or murder is not a separate offense but a special aggravating circumstance, affecting the penalty of the crime committed, not constituting a separate charge.
- \*\*Standard of Proof in Criminal Cases\*\*: The prosecution must establish the guilt of the accused beyond reasonable doubt, including proving negative facts like the absence of a license for a firearm.

# ### Historical Background:

This case occurred against the backdrop of changes in Philippine law regarding firearms, marked by the passage of Republic Act No. 8294 on June 6, 1997. This amendment significantly shifted the legal treatment of unlicensed firearm use in severe crimes such as murder and homicide, reflecting evolving approaches to gun control and criminal responsibility within the country's justice system.