

### Title: Spouses Bernabe Africa and Soledad C. Africa, and the Heirs of Dominga Ong, v. Caltex (Phil.) Inc., Mateo Boquiren and the Court of Appeals

### Facts:

The case revolves around an incident that occurred on March 18, 1948, when a fire broke out at a Caltex service station in Manila, causing damage to neighboring residences, including those owned by the petitioners. The fire started during the transfer of gasoline from a tank truck to an underground storage tank. The petitioners, Spouses Bernabe and Soledad Africa, and the Heirs of Dominga Ong, filed a lawsuit against Caltex (Phil.) Inc and Mateo Boquiren (station's operator) claiming damages due to negligence. The case went through the Court of First Instance of Manila, which dismissed the amended complaint of the petitioners. When the petitioners appealed, the Court of Appeals affirmed the dismissal, leading to this petition for review by the Supreme Court.

Throughout the process, various motions and petitions were filed by both parties, including debates about the admissibility of reports from the Manila Police and Fire Departments. The respondents argued these were hearsay, an assertion that played a significant role in procedural contentions.

### Issues:

1. Admissibility of police and fire department reports as evidence.
2. Application of the doctrine of res ipsa loquitur and presumption of negligence.
3. Determination of Mateo Boquiren's status: independent contractor or agent of Caltex.
4. Liability of Caltex and Boquiren for damages and the correct computation thereof.

### Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court reversed the decision of the Court of Appeals, holding Caltex and Boquiren solidarily liable for the damages.

1. **Reports Admissibility**: The Court found the police report and likewise documents doubled as hearsay and not within exceptions allowing for official records, mainly because the facts reported were not from direct observation nor from officers duty-bound to relay such information.
2. **Res Ipsa Loquitur**: The Court held this doctrine applicable given the control of the highly combustible and hazardous operation by respondents, who provided no explanation for the fire. Thus, a presumption of negligence was warranted owing to the nature of gasoline storage and sales, coupled with the failure of respondents to elucidate cause.

3. **Boquiren's Status**: Contrary to the Court of Appeals' finding, the Supreme Court identified Boquiren not as an independent contractor but an agent of Caltex. It was established based on the degree of control Caltex had over the station's operations and Boquiren's activities, particularly, Caltex's ownership of station equipment and the stipulation of operations wholly involving Caltex products.

4. **Liability and Damages**: The Court found both Caltex and Boquiren solidarily liable for the fire damages. It corrected the lower courts' assessment of damages, particularly noting the under-assessment of Ong's property value, and ultimately awarded sums to both sets of appellants, marked with interest since the filing of the complaint.

### ### Doctrine:

This case solidified several legal doctrines, notably:

- The application of *res ipsa loquitur*, allowing for the presumption of negligence in incidents involving highly combustible materials under the control of the defendants, with damages ensuing from operations therein.
- Establishing parameters for determining whether an operator is an independent contractor or an agent, highlighting the significance of control and operational dependencies.

### ### Class Notes:

- **Res Ipsa Loquitur** is applicable when the cause of the incident is under the exclusive control of the defendant, and the incident is such that it ordinarily wouldn't occur in the absence of negligence.
- Understanding the distinction between an **independent contractor** and an **agent** hinges on the degree of control exercised by the principal over the operation and the individual's activities.
- **Solidary Liability** can be imposed on parties who are found collectively responsible for a particular harm or negligence leading to damages.
- **Evidence Admissibility** considers the hearsay rule and exceptions therein, notably when it comes to official records and reports.

### ### Historical Background:

This case encapsulates post-World War II Philippines' evolving jurisprudence regarding negligence and the burgeoning corporate responsibilities in industrial activities. Through this decision, the Supreme Court set significant precedents on the doctrine of *res ipsa loquitur*, liability attributions, and the discernment between agents and independent

contractors, reflecting a more intricate understanding of corporate operations and their impacts on public safety.