Title: \*\*Commissioner of Internal Revenue vs. Philippine Health Care Providers, Inc. \*\*

#### \*\*Facts:\*\*

The case revolves around the dispute between the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (CIR) and Philippine Health Care Providers, Inc. (PhilCare), regarding Value-Added Tax (VAT) and documentary stamp taxes (DST) assessments for the taxable years 1996 and 1997. PhilCare, a corporation offering prepaid health care services, sought clarifications from the CIR in December 1987 on its VAT obligations under the newly instituted VAT system through Executive Order (E.O.) No. 273. The CIR, through VAT Ruling No. 231-88, initially confirmed PhilCare's VAT-exemption status, which was further substantiated by Regional Director Osmundo G. Umali in April 1994.

However, post the effectuation of the Expanded VAT Law (R.A. No. 7716) and the National Internal Revenue Code of 1997 (R.A. No. 8424), the BIR issued a Preliminary Assessment Notice to PhilCare in October 1999 for deficiency in VAT and DST payments for 1996 and 1997. PhilCare contested this through a protest with the BIR, eventually taking the matter to the Court of Tax Appeals (CTA) in September 2000 due to BIR's inaction on their protests. The CTA initially ruled partially in favor of PhilCare but, upon motion for reconsideration, entirely sided with them, deciding that the VAT assessment was wrongly issued based on the principle of non-retroactivity of rulings. The CIR's appeal to the Court of Appeals (CA) upheld the CTA's decision, leading to the current petition for review before the Supreme Court.

#### \*\*Issues:\*\*

- 1. Whether PhilCare's services are subject to VAT.
- 2. Whether VAT Ruling No. 231-88, exempting PhilCare from VAT, should have retroactive application.

## \*\*Court's Decision:\*\*

The Supreme Court upheld the CA's decision, denying the petition. It addressed the issues as follows:

- \*\*On VAT liability:\*\* The court affirmed the findings of both the CTA and the CA that PhilCare is not directly rendering medical services but rather acts as an intermediary, arranging such services for a prepaid fee. Hence, its services are not VAT-exempt under Section 103 of the Tax Code.
- \*\*On retroactivity of VAT Ruling No. 231-88:\*\* The Court found no indication of bad faith on PhilCare's part when it relied on the VAT Ruling. It ruled that applying the ruling

retroactively would be prejudicial to PhilCare and against the principles of equity, fair play, and non-retroactivity of tax rulings as provided under Section 246 of the National Internal Revenue Code of 1997.

### \*\*Doctrine:\*\*

- 1. VAT on Services: Entities acting as intermediaries in providing services, even if health-related, are subject to VAT unless expressly exempted.
- 2. Non-Retroactivity of Tax Rulings: Tax rulings or circulars should not have a retroactive effect to the detriment of taxpayers, especially in the absence of bad faith or deliberate misrepresentation by the taxpayer.

## \*\*Class Notes:\*\*

- \*\*VAT on Services:\*\* Entities are liable for VAT on services unless specifically exempted under the law.
- \*\*Non-Retroactivity Principle (Section 246 of the Tax Code):\*\* Any revocation, modification, or reversal of tax rulings should not apply retroactively if it would prejudice the taxpayer, except under specified conditions indicating bad faith or misrepresentation by the taxpayer.
- \*\*Good Faith in Tax Compliance:\*\* Reliance on a tax ruling issued by the revenue authority in good faith shields a taxpayer from retroactive adverse changes.

# \*\*Historical Background:\*\*

This case underlines the evolving nature of tax regulations and interpretations in the Philippines, particularly concerning VAT and its application to various services. The introduction of VAT in the Philippines through E.O. No. 273, its subsequent amendments, and the impact of the National Internal Revenue Code of 1997 significantly reshaped the tax landscape. The case exemplifies the challenges in tax administration and the protection of taxpayer rights amid changing tax laws and regulations.