## ### Title:

NAGA TELEPHONE CO., INC. (NATELCO) and LUCIANO M. MAGGAY vs. THE COURT OF APPEALS and CAMARINES SUR II ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC. (CASURECO II)

#### ### Facts:

The central issue revolves around the contractual relationship between Naga Telephone Co., Inc. (NATELCO), a telephone service company, and Camarines Sur II Electric Cooperative, Inc. (CASURECO II), an electric power service provider in Naga City. On November 1, 1977, the parties entered into an agreement permitting NATELCO to use CASURECO II's electric light posts for telephone service cables. In return, NATELCO provided ten telephone connections to CASURECO II, free of charge, in specified locations. This contract was designed to last as long as NATELCO required the use of the light posts, with a provision for termination if CASURECO II ceased operation.

Over a decade later, CASURECO II found the agreement disproportionately favorable to NATELCO, leading to a lawsuit filed on January 2, 1989, for contract reformation and damages. The suit highlighted the contract's failure to anticipate NATELCO's subscriber growth and its increased use of CASURECO II's posts, which now numbered 1,403, including posts in areas outside the original agreement. CASURECO II argued for revised terms to account for these changes and sought compensation for unauthorized use of additional posts.

In response, NATELCO argued against reformation, citing legal barriers such as prescription and estoppel. The Regional Trial Court (RTC) found for reformation based on fairness and equity, adjusting the contract to establish payment terms for the use of the posts and the provision of telephone services.

The Court of Appeals affirmed the RTC's decision, invoking Article 1267 of the New Civil Code to justify the reformation on grounds of equity due to the substantial change in circumstances surrounding the contract's execution.

## ### Issues:

- 1. Whether the application of Article 1267 of the New Civil Code is appropriate in granting relief to CASURECO II.
- 2. Whether the action for reformation of the contract based on subsequent disadvantage to CASURECO II is barred by prescription.
- 3. Whether the contract was conditioned upon a potestative condition, rendering such

provision void.

#### ### Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court upheld the Court of Appeals' decision but grounded its affirmation on the equitable relief provided under Article 1267 of the New Civil Code rather than contract reformation. The Court found this provision applicable due to the drastic change in circumstances that made the original contract excessively burdensome to CASURECO II. It emphasized that equity and the principle of preventing unjust enrichment justified modifying the obligations of the parties to reflect the current reality. Consequently, NATELCO was ordered to pay for the use of CASURECO II's posts, and CASURECO II was directed to pay for the telephone services received, both adjustments effective from January 1989.

# ### Doctrine:

This case reiterated the doctrine under Article 1267 of the New Civil Code, highlighting that obligations could be modified or extinguished if subsequent events render them too onerous, far beyond the contemplation of the contracting parties.

#### ### Class Notes:

- 1. \*\*Article 1267, New Civil Code\*\*: Allows for the release from or modification of obligations when they become unfairly burdensome due to unforeseen events.
- 2. \*\*Prescription for Action Reformation\*\*: Not strictly tied to the contract's execution date but may commence when the contract's inequitable effects materialize.
- 3. \*\*Potestative Conditions\*\*: Conditions dependent solely on the debtor's will make the obligation void, but contracts with mixed conditions (potestative and casual) do not automatically invalidate the obligations.

# ### Historical Background:

This case reflects the evolving nature of contracts in the face of unforeseen economic and operational changes. It underscores the legal system's capacity to adapt contractual obligations to ensure fairness and equity amidst changing circumstances, thereby avoiding unjust enrichment.