### ### Title:

\*\*Republic of the Philippines vs. Heirs of Luisa Villa Abrille\*\*

### ### Facts:

The Republic of the Philippines filed a complaint for Annulment of Certificate of Title against the Heirs of Luisa Villa Abrille and other defendants related to an increase in the area of a parcel of land in Davao City. Luisa Villa Abrille's estate owned a parcel of land under Transfer Certificate of Title No. T-1439, which, following a subdivision plan, seemingly expanded to include an additional 82,127 square meters, which was previously a riverbed and considered public domain. The estate had secured orders from the Court of First Instance of Davao to correct and cancel TCT No. T-1439 and issue new certificates (TCT Nos. T-18886 and T-18887) covering the subdivided lots. The Republic, through the Director of Lands, contested this, alleging the registration of the expanded area was done without the requisite notice and publication.

The case, initially lodged at the Court of First Instance of Davao, saw a submission of an "Agreed Stipulation of Facts" by the parties and was decided in favor of the plaintiff, ordering the cancellation of four transfer certificates of title covering the contested area. The Heirs of Luisa Villa Abrille appealed to the Court of Appeals, which later certified the case to the Supreme Court for resolution on pure questions of law.

## ### Issues:

- 1. Whether the lower court erred in ordering the cancellation of Transfer Certificates of Title Nos. T-20725, T-20701, T-20713, and T-20690 on the grounds of improper subdivision and lack of notice to the Director of Lands.
- 2. Whether the increase in land area can be legally appended to adjacent, privately owned land without undergoing standard land registration proceedings.

## ### Court's Decision:

The Supreme Court affirmed the trial court's decision, holding that the procedure followed by the defendant-appellant in seeking approval for their Subdivision Plan (LRC) Psd-69322 and subsequently Psd-71236 to include the questioned increased area was unwarranted and irregular. Since the increased area was formerly a river bed and considered public domain, it could not have been included through mere subdivision plans without undergoing standard registration processes prescribed by the Land Registration Law (Act 496). Moreover, the court emphasized that the subdivision plan approval and subsequent title issuance lacked necessary notices, particularly to the Director of Lands, making such registrations invalid.

### ### Doctrine:

This case reiterates the principle that lands previously part of the public domain, which have not been brought under the operation of the Torrens System through proper registration proceedings, cannot be acquired by adjoining landowners through mere subdivision plan approvals or corrections in existing titles. Standard land registration procedures, including notices and hearings, are imperative to confer valid title over new areas being claimed.

### ### Class Notes:

- \*\*Accretion and Alluvion:\*\* In contexts where lands adjacent to rivers expand due to natural processes, such as accretion, these lands can only be claimed by riparian owners through formal registration proceedings.
- \*\*Land Registration Process:\*\* The case illustrates the necessity of undergoing the full land registration process, including survey, application, notices, and hearing, to acquire registerable title over land not previously registered or recognized under the Torrens system.
- \*\*Public Domain Lands:\*\* Lands forming part of the public domain, including dried river beds, cannot be appropriated by private entities or individuals without express provisions of law and completion of appropriate registration proceedings.

# ### Historical Background:

The case underscores the complexities surrounding land ownership and registration in the Philippines, especially concerning properties adjacent to natural bodies of water undergoing environmental or geographical changes. It highlights the legal challenges in reconciling the rights of landowners with public interests and the safeguarding of lands considered part of the public domain.