### ### Title:

\*\*The Roman Catholic Apostolic Church vs. The Municipalities of Tarlac and Victoria\*\*

### ### Facts:

The Roman Catholic Apostolic Church filed a suit against the Municipalities of Tarlac and Victoria and other defendants, asserting ownership and possession over several pieces of land historically associated with the Church. These parcels included plazas, church grounds, cemeteries, and chapel grounds within the territories of the aforementioned municipalities. The Church had allegedly controlled and administered these lands till a few years before the complaint was filed.

The defendants, including Gregorio Aglipay of the Independent Filipino Church and certain municipal entities, claimed the properties were public and belonged to the Government of the Philippine Islands. After the Spanish sovereignty ceased, they took possession of the properties.

During the proceedings, an agreement was reached by both parties, leading to the dismissal of the claim over all public highways and plazas, narrowing the dispute to specific properties in the barrio of La Paz, Municipality of Tarlac.

#### ### Issues:

- 1. Whether the Roman Catholic Apostolic Church has a legal basis to claim ownership and right of possession over the properties in dispute, particularly in the barrio of La Paz in Tarlac.
- 2. Whether Act No. 1376 is void in that it contravenes the provisions of the Act of Congress of July 1, 1902, particularly the equal protection clause.

# ### Court's Decision:

- 1. The Supreme Court awarded judgment for possession to the Roman Catholic Apostolic Church, relying on the principle established in previous cases that neither the King of Spain nor the municipalities were the owners of such properties, and, consequently, the title did not pass to the Government of the United States through the Treaty of Paris. Since the Church had been in possession of the properties for over twenty-five years, under common law principles, they were entitled to maintain an action to recover possession against a party with no right or interest.
- 2. Concerning Act No. 1376's validity, the Court rejected the defendants' arguments. The jurisprudential precedent established the acceptance of legislation that specifies procedural

aspects for certain lawsuits without violating constitutional rights to equality before the law. The law provided original jurisdiction to the Supreme Court over disputes of such a nature which the Court deemed a matter of procedural, rather than substantive law.

# ### Doctrine - Cite the doctrine/s established in the case or was reiterated:

The Court reiterated the principle of non-ownership by the King of Spain over religious properties used by the Roman Catholic Apostolic Church, a principle that was earlier recognized in other Philippine Supreme Court cases related to church properties post-Spanish era.

# ### Historical Background:

This case occurred in the early 20th century during the American colonial period in the Philippines. It arose amidst the larger context of the separation of church and state, with the role and ownership of church properties being a central issue following the transfer of sovereignty from Spain to the United States. The properties were originally under the Roman Catholic Church's control during Spanish rule, but their control became contested with the rise of the Filipino Independent Church and the change in sovereignty. The matter was significant as it touched on the rights of religious institutions in the aftermath of colonial transitions, and it illustrated the application of both Spanish and American legal principles.